

Smith et al., 2013	U	Y	n/a	n/a	N	N	Y	Y	3/8
Lee and Spelke, 2010	Y	U	n/a	n/a	U	N	U	U	1/8
Rieser and Heiman, 1982	U	Y	n/a	Y	N	n/a	Y	Y	4/8
Leplow et al., 2003	Y	Y	n/a	Y	N	n/a	Y	Y	5/8
Piccardi, Palermo, Bocchi.,et al. ,2014 RM	Y	Y	n/a	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	7/8
Castilla et al., 2021	Y	Y	n/a	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	7/8
Negen, Bird et al.,2021	U	U	n/a	U	N	N	U	U	0/8
Fernandez-Baizan, Arias, Mendez, 2020	Y	Y	n/a	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	7/8
Faedda et al., 2021	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	Y	U	6/8
Bartonek, Guariglia, Piccardi, 2021	Y	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Y	3/8
Amico and Schaefer, 2021	Y	Y	n/a	n/a	Y	Y	Y	Y	6/8
Cuturi et al., 2021	U	U	n/a	n/a	U	U	Y	Y	2/8
Del Lucchese et al., 2021	Y	Y	Y	Y	n/a	n/a	Y	Y	6/8
Bartonek,Guariglia, Piccardi., 2022	Y	Y	n/a	n/a	Y	Y	Y	Y	6/8
Becù et al., 2023	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n/a	Y	7/8
Foti et al., 2023	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n/a	Y	7/8
Martín-Pozuelo et al., 2023	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n/a	Y	7/8
Fernandez-Baizan, Alcántara et al 2019	Y	Y	n/a	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	7/8

Note: Questions were: **Q1.** Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined? **Q2.** Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail? **Q3.** Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? **Q4.** Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition? **Q5.** Were confounding factors identified? **Q6.** Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated? **Q7.** Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way? **Q8.** Was appropriate statistical analysis used? Criteria were answered with a ^`yes´ (Y), `no´ (N), `not applicable´(n/a) or `unclear´(U). The risk of bias classification was considered high when the scores were from 1 to 3 “yes”, moderate from 4 to 6, and low from 7 to 8 (Mecenas et al., 2020).

