

This is an accepted version of the following published document: Martínez-Abraín, A., Marí-Mena, N., Vizcaíno, A. *et al.* Determinants of Eurasian otter (*Lutra lutra*) diet in a seasonally changing reservoir. *Hydrobiologia* **847**, 1803–1816 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-020-04208-y

This version of the article has been accepted for publication, after peer review (when applicable) and is subject to Springer Nature's AM terms of use, but is not the Version of Record and does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any corrections. The Version of Record is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-020-04208-y



- 1 Determinants of Eurasian otter (*Lutra lutra*) diet in a seasonally changing reservoir
- 2
- 3 Alejandro Martínez-Abraín¹, Neus Marí-Mena², Antón Vizcaíno², Joaquín Vierna²,
- 4 Carlos Veloy¹, Moraima Amboage¹, Amanda Guitián-Caamaño¹, Charlie Key³, and
- 5 Marta Vila¹
- 6
- 7¹ Departamento de Bioloxía, Facultade de Ciencias, Universidade da Coruña, Campus
- 8 da Zapateira, 15008 A Coruña, Spain.
- 9 Martínez-Abraín's ORCID: 0000-0001-8009-4331.
- 10 Vila's ORCID: 0000-0002-8803-9174.
- ² AllGenetics & Biology SL, Edificio CICA, Campus de Elviña, 15008 A Coruña,
- 12 Spain.
- ³ School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Menai Bridge, Anglesey, LL59 5AB,
- 14 United Kingdom.
- 15
- 16 Corresponding Author: M. Vila: <u>mvila@udc.es</u>
- 17

Abstract

19 Otter diet in reservoirs is known to experience seasonal changes. We selected a 20 reservoir with a large population of exclusively wintering great cormorants and seasonal 21 changes in stored water volume to test the relative influence of abiotic and biotic factors 22 on otter foraging ecology. DNA metabarcoding of otter spraints revealed a dietary 23 change from autumn to winter. Otters had a diet dominated by the exotic goldfish in 24 autumn, but predated intensively on the native northern-straight-mouth nase in winter. 25 This change was likely caused by predation of cormorants on goldfish and to fish 26 biology. Secondly, macroscopic analysis of spraints revealed that otters shifted from a 27 diet dominated by fish (in terms of biomass) to a diet dominated by red swamp crayfish 28 during spring-summer, when the latter became overabundant. As revealed by modelling, 29 this second shift was most likely influenced by the sudden increase in stored water 30 volume in spring, but also by the cumulative effect of cormorant predation on fish 31 during autumn-winter. Macroscopic analyses of otter spraints collected in a second 32 reservoir with no cormorants revealed a lack of seasonality. Hence the combined 33 influence of both biotic and abiotic factors explained otter diet seasonality in a lentic-34 water novel ecosystem.

35 Keywords: diet shifts, interspecific competition, foraging plasticity, DNA
36 metabarcoding, changes in stored water volume.

37

38 Introduction

39 The Eurasian otter, Lutra lutra (Linnaeus, 1758), is a good example of how 40 conservation measures have resulted in a substantial recovery of a formerly threatened 41 species in Europe. After a dramatic decline in the 1960s and 1970s, mainly caused by 42 water pollution in western and central Europe, many otter populations successfully 43 rebounded to previous levels (Roos et al., 2015). Eurasian otters currently occur in all 44 types of natural and human-made aquatic systems (Ayres & García, 2009; Weinberger 45 et al., 2016) as a result of both conservation actions and their flexibility in habitat 46 selection. Indeed, otters have increasingly colonised water reservoirs, particularly in 47 southern Europe (Basto et al., 2011). Interestingly, reservoirs were initially seen as a 48 threat to the persistence of otters in rivers worldwide (Palmeirim et al., 2014). However, 49 Martínez-Abraín & Jiménez (2016) showed that otters are thriving in these novel 50 ecosystems (Hobbs, 2018). Otters started using these new habitats in Spain by the 1980s 51 and since then have fitted within them, ecologically (sensu Janzen, 1985), with great 52 success (Delibes, 1990; Ruiz-Olmo & Delibes, 1998; López-Martín & Jiménez, 2009). 53 Several studies have highlighted a marked seasonality of the Eurasian otter diet 54 in Iberian reservoirs. Otters are opportunistic predators that mainly consume fish while 55 abundant, but shift to forage on the red swamp crayfish *Procambarus clarkii* (Girard, 56 1852) during periods when fish is not abundant (Pedroso & Santos-Reis, 2006; Sales-57 Luis et al., 2007; López-Martín & Jiménez, 2009; Basto et al., 2011; Pedroso et al., 58 2014). Both abiotic and biotic factors are known to affect fish abundance in reservoirs. 59 For example, fluctuations in water level can affect fish recruitment differentially 60 depending on nesting substrate, and inter-annual changes in temperature can influence 61 inter-annual fish abundance through variations in the time of migration (de Lima et al., 62 2017; Kuczynski et al., 2017). Furthermore, the life-history of each fish species may

63 affect the abundance of fish prey for otters. In addition, large piscivorous vertebrates are 64 known to influence fish availability because of intense predation (Čech & Vejřík, 2011; 65 Klimaszyk & Rzymski, 2016). For instance, great cormorants Phalacrocorax carbo 66 (Linnaeus, 1758) are facultative specialists that are known to forage on a great variety 67 of fish prey but usually target those that are more common in each site (Orta et al., 68 2018). Great cormorants have experienced a rapid population growth over the last four 69 decades because of the protection given to their breeding colonies in Central and 70 Northern Europe (Klimaszyk & Rzymski, 2016).

71 Here we set out to explore whether the intense predation exerted by wintering 72 great cormorants influenced the seasonality of otter foraging ecology in a reservoir. For 73 the present study, we selected a study site in Northwest Spain where otters can be 74 readily observed foraging during the day and in which there are large numbers of 75 wintering cormorants. We used DNA metabarcoding to determine if there is seasonal 76 variation in the diet of otters in a reservoir and if this seasonality is related with the 77 presence of another fish predator, the great cormorant, and/or abiotic factors that 78 influence the abundance of otter fish main prey.

79 Materials and methods

80 Study sites and prey species available

81 The Cecebre-Abegondo reservoir is located in Northwest Spain (central point 82 coordinates: 43°16'56''N, 8°17'18''W) and is a core area of a Biosphere Reserve and a 83 Special Conservation Area under the Nature 2000 network (Fig. 1). The reservoir was created in 1976 and covers 365 ha, holding a maximum of 22 million m³ of water. It 84 85 presents a marked artificial seasonality, with water levels kept low during fall-winter, to 86 prevent flooding, and high during spring-summer, to supply tap water to approximately 87 400,000 people. The reservoir is located at \approx 35 m above sea level, and it is quite 88 shallow (6-15 m depth). Recreational boating is forbidden, but sport fishing is allowed 89 from mid-March to the end of July. Temperatures are mild (14.4 °C mean annual 90 temperature) and rain is frequent throughout the year, although more common in winter 91 (1037 mm mean annual precipitation). The reservoir has a narrow belt of riparian 92 vegetation and oak forests (Quercus robur L.), where otters find shelter during their 93 resting time.

94 Presence and diurnal activity of otters in this reservoir was firstly reported in 95 2004 (Mouriño et al., 2004). We observed otter foraging behaviour by direct 96 observation with $(x \ 10)$ binoculars and $(x \ 20-60)$ terrestrial scopes. We determined that 97 a minimum of seven resident otters made use of the reservoir during our study period 98 (March 2015-February 2016), since two adult females with two cubs each, plus one 99 adult male were observed simultaneously at least once. The red swamp crayfish 100 (Procambarus clarkii) colonised the study area in the second half of the 1980s, 101 becoming very abundant from the early 1990s onwards (Galán, 1997). There are only 102 five fish species reported in the reservoir: two native species (brown trout Salmo trutta

103 Linnaeus, 1758 and northern straight-mouth nase *Pseudochondrostoma duriense* 104 (Coelho, 1985), hereafter referred to as 'nase') and three exotic species (goldfish 105 Carassius auratus (Linnaeus, 1758), complex, largemouth-bass Micropterus salmoides 106 (Lacepède, 1802), and mosquito fish Gambusia holbrooki (Girard, 1859)) (Augas de 107 Galicia, 2009). Anglers mostly target brown trout. Great cormorants first colonised the 108 study site in 1989, becoming a regular wintering species from 1993 onwards, hence 109 showing a similar time pattern of reservoir colonisation to that of red swamp crayfish 110 (X. Mouriño, pers. comm.).

111 The second study site, which was used as a control, was Eiras reservoir (central 112 point coordinates: 42°20'18''N, 8°25'51''W) ca. 100 km south of Cecebre (Fig. 1), 113 which has no wintering cormorants but where the exotic red-swamp crayfish has been 114 recorded. Eiras reservoir was created in 1977, has a maximum surface area of 149 ha 115 and supplies drinking water to a similar number of habitants than the Cercebre 116 reservoir. It also has a similar forced seasonal regime and its fish community includes 117 brown trout, largemouth-bass, European chub (Squalius cephalus (Linnaeus, 1758)), 118 and nase. To the best of our knowledge, goldfish are absent from this reservoir, which 119 may affect its comparability with Cecebre. Despite its small surface area it stores a 120 similar maximum amount of water (22 million m^3) due to its greater depth and steeper 121 banks. The height of the dam from the foundations to the spillway is 47 m. The areas 122 where the two tributaries (Oitavén and Barragán rivers) meet the lentic waters of the 123 reservoir (i.e. tributary outlets) have shallower banks and that is where we detected otter 124 activity. These areas (ecologically equivalent to Cecebre) were the areas sampled in 125 Eiras when searching for otter spraints. These two reservoirs are not stocked with fish 126 on a regular basis.

127

128 Diet variability between periods

129 Based on prior literature as well as our own observations, we expected a 130 pronounced shift (fish-crayfish) on otter diet between the autumn-winter period and the 131 spring-summer period. Therefore, we analysed otter spraints macroscopically in order to 132 obtain relative indices of fish and crayfish consumption during autumn-winter and 133 spring-summer. For that purpose almost the entire length of the reservoir shore was 134 surveyed in search of otter spraints. This prevented pseudo-replication, that is, 135 collecting spraints belonging only to a few individuals. Most samples came from the 136 high density points identified in Fig. 1b. Adult females and their cubs use the same sites 137 repeatedly to mark their territories creating latrines. In such cases an individual sample 138 was arbitrarily defined as the amount of faeces that fitted approximately in half an 82 x 139 $60 \ge 20 \text{ mm} (50 \text{ cm}^3)$ standard zip polythene bag.

Otter spraints (n = 230) were collected at two different times of the year. The first collection (March-April 2015; n = 156) took place before the level of the reservoir was artificially raised in spring, representing otter diet during the last part of the low water period ("winter"). A second set (n = 74) was collected in September 2015 before the water level was lowered, representing otter diet accumulated during the last part of the high-water period ("summer"). It should be noted that these "winter"/"summer" terms do not necessarily correspond with the chronology of seasons.

In order to study the differential consumption of fish and crayfish between periods, we firstly observed the 230 spraints under the stereoscopic microscope to quantify samples containing fish, crayfish or both. Crayfish-based spraints look red in colour whereas fish-based spraints look greenish to grey in colour. Additionally, fishbased spraints contain fish scales and bones whereas crayfish-based spraints have remains of crayfish exoskeleton. Some spraints had mixed contents but fish and crayfish

153 remains were easy to differentiate. These 230 samples were used to develop a relative 154 index of fish and crayfish consumption by otters to compare between periods. Otter 155 physiology between periods (regarding spraint generation rate) was assumed to remain 156 constant, an assumption supported by the fact that the study otters were residents. Then 157 we converted the frequency of spraints containing crayfish and/or fish into a relative 158 index of biomass provided by each prey type (Supplementary data 1), as frequency data 159 does not necessarily reflect the actual relevance of prey items on the energy intake of 160 otters (Beja, 1996a; Kruuk, 2006).

161 At the Eiras reservoir, we collected 89 spraint samples for the summer period 162 (September 2016) and 51 for the winter period (February 2017). Samples were collected 163 at the tributary outlets. Macroscopic information was obtained following the same 164 procedures as for the Cecebre reservoir.

165 Considering that between-period dietary change was likely influenced by the 166 water temperature and/or artificial variability in water depth, we obtained monthly 167 values of both variables from the managers of the reservoir gates (EMALCSA, 168 www.emalcsa.es). Available data corresponds to 2014 (Figs. S1 and S2), a typical year 169 without severe droughts, extreme rainfall periods, or extreme cold or warm 170 temperatures. We also tested the possibility that the cumulative effect of foraging on 171 fish during the whole autumn-winter period could influence the ratio of fish/crayfish. 172 For this we used the cumulative average number of cormorants per month, starting in 173 September.

174

175 Statistical analyses

176 Proportional differences in diet composition (fish vs. crayfish, native vs. exotic) 177 and biomass between periods (winter vs. summer) were explored by means of 178 contingency tables together with the Chi-square statistic with Yate's correction. An 179 independence test was also used to assess the ratio of exotic to native fish species 180 consumed during early and late winter. Residuals of the Chi-square test were used to 181 assess the positive and negative departure of observed frequencies in relation to 182 expected frequencies. Seasonal diversity of otter autumn-winter fish diet (as obtained 183 from DNA metabarcoding) was compared by means of the Shannon-Weaver index (H' = $-\Sigma(p_i*lnp_i)$). Values of H' were compared by means of the equitability (J') calculated 184 as $J' = H'/H_{max}$, where $H_{max} = \ln(S)$, that is the maximum value that H' can achieve in 185 186 the study community (S = number of species).

187 The influence of the accumulated effect of great cormorants over winter and the 188 influence of water temperature and stored water volume on otter dietary shift between 189 periods was studied by means of Generalized Linear Models (Gaussian family of errors 190 and identity link) in which the ratio of samples containing fish/samples containing 191 crayfish was the dependent variable and cumulated number of cormorants, water 192 temperature, and water volume were the independent variables. Biologically sound 193 models were compared simultaneously by means of theoretical information criteria duly 194 corrected for small sample size (AICc). The model with the lowest AICc was selected 195 as it represents the most parsimonious model, the one explaining more deviance with 196 the lowest structural complexity. Akaike weights were also used to study relative model 197 probability. More specifically, the ratio of the Akaike weights of the models ranked first 198 by means of AICc provides an idea of how likely one model is to be the best model in 199 terms of Kullback-Leibler divergence or relative entropy. All the analyses were 200 performed with the software and environment R (https://www.r-project.org/).

202

Variability within the autumn-winter period

203 Great cormorants arrive at the study area by the end of summer or the beginning 204 of autumn and leave in the spring. Great cormorants are solitary feeders during most of 205 their wintering season but they gather in groups of several hundreds in early autumn 206 (from mid-September to the end of October) probably because their main prey, goldfish, 207 is overabundant and still in shoals after their summer reproduction. Birds were counted 208 from vantage points with the aid of $(x \ 10)$ binoculars and $(x \ 20-60)$ terrestrial scopes. 209 Cormorants in rafts (i.e. dense flocks of birds on the water) were counted at Cecebre 210 during the autumn, because this is when they form large and dense fishing groups that 211 can have a greater impact on fish abundance. Eight rafts were detected in the autumn of 212 2016, and the number of birds in each raft was counted. For this, we took pictures with 213 a digital camera and the number of birds was counted on a computer screen by the same 214 observer. Additionally, we counted the cormorants at the major roosting site of Cecebre 215 reservoir during winter and spring, when rafts were no longer formed. The roosting site 216 is located on an island (Fig. 1b) and counts only referred to the area facing the 217 observation spot. These counts were performed with the aim of obtaining a relative 218 index of abundance over time. A long time series (n = 32 years including the complete 219 period from the first colonisation of the study site) of cormorant counts, was provided 220 by the environmental authorities (Consellería de Medio Ambiente, Xunta de Galicia).

We used DNA metabarcoding faecal analysis to determine the fish species consumed by otters during the autumn-winter period, when the diet of otters is dominated by fish. Morphological identification of fish in the diet of otter is not only time consuming, but requires a high degree of expertise (Carss & Parkinson, 1996). Genetic approaches based on DNA metabarcoding (Taberlet et al., 2012) overcome

these two difficulties and, in addition, may provide higher taxonomic precision andgreater sensitivity (Nichols et al., 2016).

228 We collected 25 spraints with fish remains in October 2015 and another set of 25 229 spraints in February 2016, following the same sampling procedures described above 230 (i.e. sampling was performed in two sessions in October and two sessions in February 231 covering most of the reservoir shores; the zones sampled in October were also sampled 232 in February). These 50 samples were exclusively used for genetic analyses, which were 233 conducted at AllGenetics & Biology SL (www.allgenetics.eu). All DNA isolations were 234 carried out using 200 mg of each spraint and the RealPure Spin Food-Stool kit (RealTM, 235 Valencia, Spain) following the manufacturer's protocol. All samples were resuspended 236 in a final volume of 100 µL. A negative control that contained no sample was included 237 to check for contamination. We assessed DNA content and quality using a NanoDrop 238 1000 spectrophotometer. The 50 DNA extractions were confirmed to be otter spraints 239 using the diagnostic PCR methods developed by Schäffer et al. (2017) (COI, primers 240 St f and St r) and Fernandes et al. (2008) (cytb, primers LlutraF1 and LlutraR1 as well 241 as LlutraF2 and LlutraR2 if the former failed).

242 In order to prepare a DNA metabarcoding library, a pair of primers that met the 243 following requirements was designed for the present study: (i) it should amplify a short 244 fragment (ca. 200 bp), due to the degraded nature of DNA in spraints; (ii) it should 245 work, at least, in the potential prey species revealed by Augas de Galicia (2009), i.e.: C. 246 auratus, S. trutta, P. duriense, M. salmoides, and G. holbrooki; (iii) it should not 247 amplify L. lutra DNA. Due to host DNA being expected to be in great proportion in the 248 faecal samples, if the primers do not amplify host DNA, the sequencing depth needed to 249 capture the sequences from all potential prey species is considerably reduced; and (iv)

the amplified region should be variable enough to allow for taxonomic identifications tospecies level.

252 For primer design, complete mitochondrial genomes of L. lutra and all but one 253 (P. duriense) potential prey species were obtained from GenBank. P. duriense 12S 254 rRNA and 16S rRNA sequences were downloaded as well from the same source 255 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/). All the accession numbers are recorded in 256 Supplementary data 2. Sequences were aligned using Muscle (Edgar, 2004a, 2004b), as 257 implemented in Geneious 8.1.8 (www.geneious.com). The 12S rRNA and 16S rRNA 258 regions were subjected to a Primer3 search (Rozen & Skaletsky, 2000) as implemented 259 in Geneious 8.1.8 (http://www.geneious.com/). A pair of primers annealing to the 12S 260 rRNA region (Teleo-12S-F 5'-ACTGGGATTAGATACCCCACT-3' and Teleo-12S-R 261 5'-TCACAGGGTAAGCTGACGAC-3'; positions 1489 to 1707 of the mtDNA genome 262 (or 418-636 of the 12S rRNA gene) of Oncorhynchus mykiss (Walbaum, 1792) 263 (accession number L29771)) met the requirements mentioned above and were, 264 therefore, selected for biological validation. Primer Teleo-12S-F perfectly matched 265 sequences of both otter and fish. The only mismatch was at position 16 of the alignment 266 for two (accession numbers NC 001717 and L29771) out of the seven haplotypes of O. 267 mykiss analysed. However, amplification of DNA from otter or any other mustelid 268 present in the area is prevented by the fact that primers Teleo-12S-R perfectly matched 269 fish DNA and showed seven mismatches for otters (Supplementary Data 3). 270 In order to validate the selected primer pair, DNA was extracted from three L. 271 lutra tissue samples (using NZYTech's NZY Tissue gDNA isolation kit), and from one 272 tissue sample of each of the potential prey species using the High Pure PCR Template 273

273 Preparation Kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). PCRs were carried out in a final volume

274 of 25 μ L, containing approximately 50 ng of template DNA, 0.5 μ M of the primers

275 Teleo-12S-F and Teleo-12S-R, 12.5 µL of Phusion® High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix 276 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad (CA), USA), and ultrapure water up to 25 µL. The 277 reaction mixture was incubated as follows: an initial denaturation at 98 °C for 30 s, 278 followed by 35 cycles of 98 °C for 10 s, 60 °C for 20 s, 72 °C for 20 s, and a final 279 extension step at 72 °C for 10 minutes. The PCR products were run in a 1% agarose gel 280 stained with GreenSafe (NZYTech, Lisbon, Portugal), and visualised under UV light. 281 This visual inspection confirmed the amplification on all the fish tissue samples, and the 282 non-amplification on the L. lutra tissue samples. The fish PCR products (221 bp, but for 283 P. duriense whose amplicon was 222 bp) were Sanger-sequenced in both directions 284 using the PCR primers. Electropherograms were analysed with Geneious 8.1.8. Finally, 285 a BLAST search (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) against the GenBank nr 286 database confirmed that the primers had amplified the expected fish species in each 287 sample (sequences and accession numbers are provided in Supplementary Data 4). 288 DNA metabarcoding libraries from each faecal sample were prepared with 289 primers Teleo-12-S and Teleo-12-R in two different PCR steps. For the first one, the 290 PCR conditions were identical to the PCR described above for the validation of the

291 primers, with one exception: the primers had a 5' overhang, corresponding to the 292 Illumina-specific sequencing primers. The index sequences (required for multiplexing 293 different libraries in the same sequencing pool) were attached in a second PCR round 294 with identical conditions but only 5 cycles. For a schematic overview of the library 295 preparation process, please see Figure 1 in Vierna et al. (2017). The libraries were run 296 on a 1% agarose gel stained with GreenSafe (NZYTech, Lisbon, Portugal), and imaged 297 under UV light. Negative controls that contained no DNA were included to check for 298 potential contamination during library preparation. Finally, all libraries were pooled in 299 equimolar amounts into a single pool for sequencing in a fraction of an Illumina's 600-

300 cycle run (MiSeq Reagent Kit v3; PE300). All raw sequencing data from this study have
301 been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject accession
302 number PRJNA605969.

303 An initial assessment of the quality of the Illumina data was performed using the 304 software FASTQC (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). 305 Paired-end assembly of the forward and reverse reads were performed with FLASH 306 (Magoc & Salzberg, 2011). The resulting sequences were processed using the 307 bioinformatic tool QIIME 1.9.1 (Caporaso et al., 2010). Sequences were filtered based 308 on read quality using a minimum quality score of 20. We checked for the presence of 309 chimeras de novo using the USEARCH algorithm (Edgar et al., 2011). The 12S rRNA 310 gene sequences were clustered into OTUs and the taxonomy was assigned using the 311 open-reference approach of QIIME. In this method, reads are clustered against a 312 reference database and those not hitting the reference sequence collection are 313 subsequently clustered *de novo*. We used an in-house reference database containing the 314 12S rRNA fragment for the eight potential fish prey species. Sequences not hitting the 315 reference database were compared against GenBank's nr database using BLASTN 316 (Altschul et al., 1990), as implemented in BLAST2.2.31+, under the megablast settings 317 and a percent identity of 97 %. Finally, based on the resulting OTU abundance table, we 318 removed OTUs that were observed less than twice in the whole dataset. 319 It has recently been observed in DNA metabarcoding studies that a low 320 percentage of the reads of a library can be assigned to another library. This 321 phenomenon, referred to as mistagging, is the result of the misassignment of the indices 322 during library preparation, sequencing, and/or demultiplexing steps (Esling et al., 2015; 323 Bartram et al., 2016). Mock communities (i.e. samples containing a known amount of 324 DNA from a defined set of taxa) are recommended to calibrate the filtering settings,

325 which are applied during the DNA metabarcoding pipeline to eliminate erroneous OTU 326 assignments (Bokulich et al., 2013). Therefore, we created a mock community by 327 pooling an equal mix of DNA from C. auratus, P. duriense, M. salmoides, O. mykiss, S. 328 trutta, and Salmo salar Linnaeus, 1758. Two replicates of the mock community were 329 analysed using the above-mentioned DNA metabarcoding protocol (Fig. S1, raw data 330 available upon request). As a result, OTUs with a number of sequences lower than 0.5 331 % of the total number of sequences per sample were removed. Most of the reads of 332 three samples from February-2016 (19, 21, and 23) did not get through the filtering of 333 read quality and therefore no OTUs were assigned during the closed-reference selection 334 step. These samples were not used for downstream analyses. The sequences that were 335 clustered during the *de novo* picking process and subsequently compared to the NCBI 336 database did not match any other fish species. The majority corresponded to bacteria 337 and some green plants.

338

339 Results

340 Shift from fish to crayfish between periods

341 Stored water level changed seasonally, with higher levels being reached during 342 the May-August period and lower levels during the September-April period. Changes 343 between both periods amounted to a vertical difference of some 2.5 m (Fig. S1). Stored 344 water temperature also changed seasonally with a period of higher temperatures from 345 May to October, and a period of lower temperatures from November to April. Lowest 346 water temperatures were reached in February (Fig. S2). The results of our modelling 347 clearly showed that the main abiotic factor influencing the seasonal shift from fish to 348 crayfish was the change in stored water volume rather than water temperature. The 349 model containing only water volume as an explanatory variable was the most

parsimonious (had the smallest AICc) and the highest Akaike weight (0.52/0.38=1.4
times more likely to be the best model; Table 1). However, the second best model
(model probability = 0.38; Table 1) also included the cumulative number of great
cormorants.

354 In terms of relative frequency, more samples contained crayfish than fish in both 355 seasons (crayfish winter = 105; fish winter = 66; crayfish summer = 118; fish summer = 356 21). However, proportionally, crayfish was consumed more frequently in summer than in winter ($\chi^2 = 9.41$, d.f. = 1, P = 0.002; winter 39%; summer 85%), indicating diet 357 358 seasonality. A modal-size goldfish captured by otters (using our field observations on 359 approximate fish size and data on mean fish lengths from samples by Augas de Galicia 360 (2009)) weighed 15.4 g after applying the equation by Andreu-Soler et al. (2006). Modal-size crayfish prey weighed 5.9 g, as from gastrolith analysis (Supplementary 361 362 Data 1). Therefore, although crayfish was the most frequent otter prey in both periods, 363 fish provided comparatively more biomass in winter (62%) than crayfish, whereas crayfish provided more biomass in summer (58%) compared to fish ($\chi^2 = 7.23$; d.f. = 1; 364 365 P = 0.007).

By contrast, otters did not change their diet between periods in the absence of wintering cormorants. Proportional differences in spraints containing fish and crayfish consumed between periods were not found to be statistically significant at Eiras reservoir ($\chi^2 = 0.003945$, d.f. = 1, P = 0.9499) contrary to what was the case at Cecebre. Fish was consumed in a slightly higher proportion than crayfish in both periods at Eiras reservoir.

372

373 Shift from goldfish to nases and cormorant abundance

374 Numbers of cormorants in a single and dense raft ranged from 115 individuals 375 (by mid-September) to a maximum count of 567 individuals by the end of October 376 (median = 270, n = 8) (Fig. 3a). Relative numbers of cormorants in the roosting site at 377 Cecebre remained approximately constant during the winter (mean = 182.3 ± 28.69) and declined rapidly and linearly during the spring $(r^2 = 0.93)$ (Fig. 3b). The amount of 378 379 wintering cormorants recorded in January at Cecebre increased linearly during the period 1987-2017 ($r^2 = 0.42$) (Fig. S4). January counts during the last few years are 380 381 around 200-300 individuals, a figure roughly coincident with our median estimate of 382 birds in rafts during the autumn.

All DNA extractions proved to be otter spraints and not from any other mammal. Results from DNA metabarcoding revealed that the main fish prey during autumnwinter were two species, the exotic goldfish (*C. auratus*) and the native nase (*P. duriense*). Additionally, we found that otters foraged on largemouth-bass (*M. salmoides*), brown trout (*S. trutta*), and even on a fish species that was not previously recorded for the study reservoir, the rainbow trout (*O. mykiss*). We also detected the presence of the small mosquito fish (*G. holbrooki*) in two of the spraints (Fig. 2).

390 The Shannon-Weaver index of diversity (H') (regarding autumn-winter fish 391 prey) was lower in October than in February (0.75 vs. 0.92). The equitability (J') of the 392 consumed fish prey community was 0.42 in October and 0.51 in February. The slightly 393 higher diversity in February was due to the fact that relative frequencies of DNA reads 394 were allocated more equitably among fish species. On the contrary, in October the 395 consumed fish prey community was dominated by C. auratus. In fact, the ratio of exotic to native fish was shown to be different between both time periods ($\chi^2 = 240.31$, d.f. = 1, 396 P < 0.05). Residuals of the Chi-square test showed that the frequency of exotic fish was 397 398 higher than expected in October (5.19) but lower than expected in February (-5.90).

Conversely, frequencies of native fish were lower than expected in October (-8.85) and
higher than expected in February (10.07). Our results indicate that otters shifted from
foraging mainly on exotic goldfish in autumn to foraging on a wider variety of fish
species (mostly on native nases) in winter.

403

404 **Discussion**

405 Shift from goldfish to nase

406 DNA metabarcoding revealed that the most commonly consumed fish prey 407 during autumn was the exotic goldfish (C. auratus), which was the only truly lentic and 408 slow-moving species available at the study site. The native and endemic nase (P. 409 duriense) was consumed more often in winter. Predatory fish, with high muscle/fat 410 ratios and hence high reaction speed, were seldom consumed, as reported previously 411 (Blanco-Garrido et al., 2008). We postulate that the observed increase of otter predation 412 on nase from autumn to winter was caused by the scarcity of goldfish after cormorant 413 predation during the autumn. Great cormorants are known to eat large amounts of fish 414 during wintering. Their daily food intake is approximately half a kilogram of fish 415 (reviewed by Klimaszyk & Rzymski, 2016), whereas that of otters is approximately one 416 kilogram (Kruuk, 2006). Therefore, a median number of 270 cormorants during the 417 winter would be equivalent to the predation exerted on fish by 135 otters eating 1 kg of 418 fish per day in a reservoir of only 365 ha. However, variations in the composition of the 419 diet of otters could also be related with changes in the biology of prey species (reviewed 420 by Chanin, 2003). For example, goldfish might become scarce during winter as this is a 421 thermophilic species that reduces mobility, remains close to the bottom or even buries 422 itself in bottom mud during winter (Doadrio et al., 2011). In addition, nase might

423 become easier to catch in winter if individuals started gathering in groups before424 ascending to headwaters to reproduce in spring (Doadrio et al., 2011), although such

425 gathering is expected to occur from March onwards (I. Doadrio, pers. comm.).

426 From a methodological point of view DNA metabarcoding has proven to be a 427 successful methodology for identifying the species composition of a complex sample, 428 even when DNA is degraded or in the presence of inhibitors (e.g. De Barba et al., 2014). 429 Moreover, DNA metabarcoding is useful for identifying highly digested animal tissue in 430 faeces or gut content, where morphological analyses might be challenging (e.g. 431 Fernández-Álvarez et al., 2018). Recent literature emphasised that the advantages of 432 molecular methods, namely a higher taxonomic resolution, definitely compensate for 433 disadvantages such as the possibility of false negatives if prey DNA is not uniformly 434 distributed throughout the faeces (Berry et al., 2015; Mumma et al., 2016; Oja et al., 435 2017). The fact that most of the readings of three samples from February showed low 436 quality and could not be analysed (Fig. 3) might be due to this non-uniform distribution 437 of prey DNA. Indeed, otter spraints consist of faeces and secretions from the anal scent 438 glands (reviewed by Kean et al., 2011). It is possible that a part of the glandular 439 secretion might have been taken for further DNA extraction in these three spraints. 440 Alternatively, explanations for the lack of data in these three samples are that (i) they 441 contained prey DNA from species with a substantially lower lipid content, so that 442 mitochondrial DNA was more degraded through digestion or (ii) they were not taken 443 from otter faeces, but from gut secretions usually referred to as "anal jelly" (reviewed 444 by Thalinger et al., 2016). Although spraints and anal jelly are unmistakable, these three 445 spraints might have been inadvertently contaminated with some anal jelly, as both of 446 them are deposited in prominent locations for scent marking (Kean et al., 2011). At 447 present we cannot rule out any of these hypotheses, but the finding of three false

negatives (a similar result to the one reported by Thalinger et al., 2016) highlights theneed for analysing more than one sample per spraint.

450

451 Shift from fish to crayfish

452 After the autumn-winter shift in the diet of otters (goldfish to nase), most likely 453 caused by the combined effect of competition with great cormorants and by prey 454 behaviour, we observed an expected second major shift. Otters moved from predating 455 mostly on fish in autumn-winter (in fixed hunting sites in the open water) to foraging 456 mainly on crayfish in spring-summer along the reservoir shores. Our results indicate 457 that this shift can be caused by the difficulties otters could be experiencing in reaching 458 the reservoir bottom in periods when stored water in the reservoir is artificially 459 increased. In addition, cormorant predation could decrease fish availability to otters. 460 The spring-summer massive emergence of crayfish from hibernation provides an 461 alternative prey. Evidence provided by otter diet at the control reservoir where 462 cormorants are absent (Eiras) supports the hypothesis that cormorant predation is an 463 important driver of the change in otter diet during this period. Although Eiras shows a 464 regime of changes in water level similar to that of Cecebre, otters do not show this 465 change in diet in Eiras. We believe this could be due to the fact that Eiras is a much 466 deeper reservoir, where changes in water level only influence the shallower areas. 467 However we cannot rule out other factors. Unfortunately, there are no reservoirs 468 supplying drinking water in the region that have a different water level regime that we 469 could use as control.

470 Otters are known to switch to suboptimal prey during periods of low fish
471 availability (Kruuk, 2006: Lanszki et al., 2016; Bouroş & Murariu, 2017; Britton et al.

472 2017). Summer was also the period when native fish resources were lowest in Portugal 473 (Beja, 1996b), which made the presence of exotic crayfish especially useful as an 474 alternative food source for otters (Kruuk, 2006). The role of crayfish (native or exotic) 475 as an alternative food for otters after fish become scarce has also been reported for 476 Mediterranean rivers (Ruiz-Olmo & Palazón, 1997; Jiménez, 2005). In spite of fish 477 being the main prey in autumn-winter (low water period) at both reservoirs, otters also 478 managed to capture a substantial proportion of crayfish during that time, when crayfish 479 burrow into the bottom and go dormant. Otters seem to be skilled in locating their 480 burrows, which is congruent with the findings of Lyach & Čech (2017) in more severe 481 winter conditions. Scent, rather than vibrissae, might play a role in detecting dormant 482 crayfish (reviewed by Noordhuis, 2002).

483 We have shown that otters first preved upon goldfish, the most abundant fish 484 resource during autumn, but then increased their dietary diversity in winter and preyed 485 more on nase. This was likely influenced by both the intense predation by great 486 cormorants on the same resource and by changes in fish biology during winter. Then the 487 sudden change in water depth in spring, coupled with the cumulative effect of 488 cormorant predation on fish, coincided with a major shift from fish to red swamp 489 crayfish in spring-summer. Hence, the combined effect of biotic and abiotic changes 490 throughout the year can explain the observed shifts in otter foraging ecology. We cannot 491 rule out variations of the seasonality pattern among years, as our study was carried out 492 during one year.

Although cormorants seemingly are severe competitors of otters during the
autumn-winter period, otters are known to have a high foraging and dietary plasticity in
unstable environments (Clavero et al., 2003; Jiménez, 2005; Remonti et al., 2008;
Krawczyk et al., 2016). This high plasticity explains how otters can make use of a

lentic-water novel ecosystem, full of exotic species, all year round. Cormorants could
also have some beneficial effect on otters: fish move to shallower areas and shelters
(Eckman & Imbrock, 1996) to avoid predation by cormorants, but this makes them
easier to be captured by otters. However, this ambitious hypothesis needs to be further
investigated.

502

503 Acknowledgments

504 Fish samples to be used as positive controls for molecular analysis were kindly 505 provided by Carlos Antunes, except for O. mykiss and Atlantic salmon S. salar that 506 were purchased at a local fish market. David Stanton generously provided us with four 507 otter tissue samples used during DNA metabarcoding optimisation. EMALCSA kindly 508 provided data on water level variation and Xunta de Galicia (Consellería de Medio 509 Ambiente e Ordenación do Territorio) allowed us to have a copy of an unpublished 510 report with detailed information about the structure of the fish community at the study 511 reservoir. The long-term time series on great cormorant counts at the study reservoir 512 was supplied by Xunta de Galicia (Dirección de Patrimonio Natural). Pablo Sierra 513 helped us during field work at Eiras reservoir. We are thankful to Ignacio Doadrio, Juan 514 Jiménez, Daniel Oro, three editors, Martin Čech, and two anonymous referees for their 515 valuable comments and suggestions. This work received funding from Xunta de Galicia 516 (grants GRC2014/050 and ED431C 2018/57) and Universidade da Coruña. A Martínez-517 Abraín was supported by an *Isidro Parga Pondal* research contract by Xunta de Galicia 518 during the period 2011-2016.

519

520 References

521	Altschul, S. F., W. Gish, W. Miller, E. W. Myers & D. J. Lipman, 1990. Basic local
522	alignment search tool. Journal of Molecular Biology 215: 403-410.
523	Andreu-Soler, A., F. J. Oliva-Paterna & M. Torralva, 2006. A review of length-weight
524	relationships of fish from the Segura River basin (SE Iberian Peninsula). Journal
525	of Applied Ichthyology 22: 295-296.
526	Augas de Galicia, 2009. Asistencia técnica para la toma de datos del indicador biológico
527	peces en las redes de monitorización de aguas superficiales en el ámbito de la
528	demarcación hidrográfica Galicia-costa (Expte. OH.688.244.SV). Consellería de
529	Medio Ambiente, Territorio e Infraestructuras. Xunta de Galicia, Spain.
530	Ayres. C. & P. García, 2009. Abandoned clay mines: an opportunity for Eurasian otters
531	in NW Spain. IUCN Otter Specialist Group Bulletin 26: 67-72.
532	Bartram, J., E. Mountjoy, T. Brooks, J. Hancock, H. Williamson, G. Wright, J. Moppett,
533	N. Goulden & M. Hubank, 2016. Accurate sample assignment in a multiplexed,
534	ultrasensitive, high-throughput sequencing assay for minimal residual disease.
535	Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 18: 494-506.
536	Basto, M. P., N. M. Pedroso, A. Mira & M. Santos-Reis, 2011. Use of small and
537	medium-sized water dams by otters in a Mediterranean ecosystem. Animal
538	Biology 61: 75-94.
539	Beja, P. R., 1996a. An analysis of otter Lutra lutra predation on introduced American
540	crayfish Procambarus clarkii in Iberian streams. Journal of Applied Ecology 33:
541	1156-1170.
542	Beja, P. R., 1996b. Temporal and spatial patterns of rest-site use by four female otters
543	Lutra lutra along the southwest coast of Portugal. Journal of Zoology 239: 741-

544 753.

545	Berry, O., C. Bulman, M. Bunce, M. Coghlan, D.C. Murray & R. D. Ward, 2015.
546	Comparison of morphological and DNA metabarcoding analyses of diets in
547	exploited marine fishes. Marine Ecology Progress Series 540: 167-181.
548	Blanco-Garrido, F., J. Prenda & M. Narvaez, 2008. Eurasian otter (Lutra lutra) diet and
549	prey selection in Mediterranean streams invaded by centrarchid fishes.
550	Biological Invasions 10: 641-648.
551	Bokulich, N. A., S. Subramanian, J. J. Faith, D. Gevers, J. I. Gordon, R. Knight, D. A.
552	Mills & J. G. Caporaso, 2013. Quality-filtering vastly improves diversity
553	estimates from Illumina amplicon sequencing. Nature Methods 10: 57-59.
554	Bouroș, G. & D. Murariu, 2017. Comparative diet analysis of the Eurasian otter (Lutra
555	lutra) in different habitats: Putna-Vrancea Natural Park and Lower Siret Valley,
556	south-eastern Romania. North-Western Journal of Zoology 13: 311-319.
557	Britton, J. R., M. Berry, S. Sewell, C. Lees & P. Reading, 2017. Importance of small
558	fishes and invasive crayfish in otter Lutra lutra diet in an English chalk stream.
559	Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems 418: 13.
560	Caporaso, J. G., J. Kuczynski, J. Stombaugh, K. Bittinger, F. D. Bushman, E. K.
561	Costello, N. Fierer, A. Gonzalez Peña, J. K. Goodrich, J. I. Gordon, G. A.
562	Huttley, S. T. Kelley, D. Knights, J. E. Koenig, R. E. Ley, C. A. Lozupone, D.
563	McDonald, B. D. Muegge, M. Pirrung, J. Reeder, J. R. Sevinsky, P. J.
564	Turnbaugh, W. A. Walters, J. Widmann, T. Yatsunenko, J. Zaneveld & R.
565	Knight, 2010. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing
566	data. Nature Methods 7: 335-336.

- 567 Carss, D. N. & S. G. Parkinson, 1996. Errors associated with otter *Lutra lutra* faecal
 analysis I. Assessing general diet from spraints. Journal of Zoology 238: 301317.
- Čech, M. & L. Vejřík, 2011. Winter diet of great cormorant (*Phalacrocorax carbo*) on
 the river Vltava: estimate of size and species composition and potential for fish
 stock losses. Folia Zoologica 60: 129-142.
- 573 Chanin, P., 2003. Ecology of the European Otter. Conserving Natura 2000 Rivers
 574 Ecology Series No. 10. English Nature, Peterborough.
- 575 Clavero, M., J. Prenda & M. Delibes, 2003. Trophic diversity of the otter (Lutra lutra
- 576 L.) in temperate and Mediterranean freshwater habitats. Journal of Biogeography577 20: 761-769.
- 578 De Barba, M., C. Miquel, F. Boyer, C. Mercier, D. Rioux, E. Coissac & P. Taberlet,
- 579 2014. DNA metabarcoding multiplexing and validation of data accuracy for diet
 580 assessment: application to omnivorous diet. Molecular Ecology Resources 14:
 581 306-323.
- 582 De Lima, F. T., D. A. Reynalte-Tataje & E. Zaniboni-Filho, 2017. Effects of reservoirs
 583 water level variations on fish recruitment. Neotropical Ichthyology 15: e160084.
- 584 Delibes, M. (ed.), 1990. La nutria (L. lutra) en España. ICONA Serie Técnica, Madrid.
- 585 Doadrio, I., S. Perea, P. Garzón-Heydt & J. L. González, 2011. Ictiofauna continental
 586 española. Bases para su seguimiento. DG Medio Natural y Política Forestal.
- 587 MARM, Madrid.
- Eckman, R. & F. Imbrock, 1996. Distribution and diel vertical migration of Eurasian
 perch (*Perca fluviatilis*) during winter. Annales Zoologici Fennici 33: 679-686.

- Edgar, R. C., 2004a. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and
 high throughput. Nucleic Acids Research 32: 1792-1797.
- Edgar, R. C., 2004b. MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced
 time and space complexity. BMC Bioinformatics 5: 113.
- Edgar, R. C., B. J. Haas, J. C. Clemente, C. Quince & R. Knight, 2011. UCHIME
- improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics 27: 2194-2200.
- 597 Esling, P., F. Lejzerowicz & J. Pawlowski, 2015. Accurate multiplexing and filtering
 598 for high-throughput amplicon-sequencing. Nucleic Acids Research 43: 2513599 2524.
- 600 Fernandes, C. A., C. Ginja, I. Pereira, R. Tenreiro, M. W. Bruford & M. Santos-Reis,
- 601 2008. Species-specific mitochondrial DNA markers for identification of non602 invasive samples from sympatric carnivores in the Iberian Peninsula.
- 603 Conservation Genetics 9: 681-690.
- 604 Fernández-Álvarez, F. Á., A. Machordom, R. García-Jiménez, C. A. Salinas-Zavala &
- R. Villanueva, 2018. Predatory flying squids are detritivores during their early
 planktonic life. Scientific Reports 8: 3440.
- 607 Galán, P., 1997. Declive de poblaciones de anfibios en dos embalses de La Coruña
 608 (Noroeste de España) por introducción de especies exóticas. Boletín de la
 609 Asociación Herpetológica Española 8: 38-40.
- 610 Hobbs, R., 2018. Novel ecosystems: can't we just pretend they're not there? In Kareiva,
- 611 P., M. Marvier & B. Silliman (eds), Effective conservation science: data not
- 612 dogma. Oxford University Press, Oxford: 45-50.
 - 26

- 613 Janzen, D. H., 1985. On Ecological Fitting. Oikos 45: 308-310.
- 614 Jiménez, J., 2005. Ecology of the otter in tributaries of the river Ebro subjected to strong
- 615 fluctuations of resources. PhD Dissertation. University of Valencia, Spain.
- 616 Kean, E. F., C. T. Müller & E. A. Chadwick, 2011. Otter Scent Signals Age, Sex, and
- 617 Reproductive Status. Chemical Senses 36: 555-564.
- Klimaszyk, P. & P. Rzymski, 2016. The complexity of ecological impacts induced by
 great cormorants. Hydrobiologia 771: 13-30.
- 620 Krawczyk, A. J., M. Bogdziewicz, K. Majkowska & A. Glazaczow, 2016. Diet
- 621 composition of the Eurasian otter *Lutra lutra* in different freshwater habitats of
- 622 temperate Europe: a review and meta-analysis. Mammal Review 46: 106-113.
- Kruuk, H., 2006. Otters: ecology, behavior and conservation. Oxford University Press,
 Oxford.
- Kruuk, H. & A. Moorhouse, 1990. Seasonal and spatial differences in food selection by
 otters (*Lutra lutra*) in Shetland. Journal of Zoology 221: 621-637.
- 627 Kuczynski, L., M. Chevalier, P. Laffaille, M. Legrand & G. Grenouillet, 2017. Indirect
- 628 effect of temperature on fish population abundances through phenological629 changes. PLoS ONE 12: e0175735.
- 630 Lanszki, J., I. Lehoczky, A. Kotze & M. J. Somers, 2016. Diet of otters (Lutra lutra) in
- 631 various habitat types in the Pannonian biogeographical region compared to other
 632 regions of Europe. PeerJ 4: e2266.
- 633 Lyach, R. & M. Čech, 2017. Do otters target the same fish species and sizes as anglers?
- 634 A case study from a lowland trout stream (Czech Republic). Aquatic Living
- 635 Resources 30: 11.

636	López-Martín, J. M. & J. Jiménez (eds.), 2009. La nutria en España, veinte años de
637	seguimiento de un mamífero amenazado. SECEM, Málaga, Spain.

- Magoc, T. & S. L. Salzberg, 2011. FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to
 improve genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 27: 2957-2963.
- 640 Martínez-Abraín, A. & J. Jiménez, 2016. Anthropogenic areas as incidental substitutes
 641 for original habitat. Conservation Biology 30: 593-598.
- 642 Mouriño, J., X. L. Otero, R. Salvadores, P. Alonso, F. Sierra-Abraín & F. Arcos, 2004.

643 Los espacios naturales de Galicia. Edicións Nigra Trea S.L., Vigo, Spain.

- 644 Mumma, M. A., J. R. Adams, C. Zieminski, T. K. Fuller, S. P. Mahoney & L. P. Waits,
- 645 2016. A comparison of morphological and molecular diet analyses of predator
 646 scats. Journal of Mammalogy 97: 112-120.
- 647 Nichols, R. V., M. Åkesson & P. Kjellander, 2016. Diet Assessment Based on Rumen
 648 Contents: A Comparison between DNA Metabarcoding and Macroscopy. PLoS
- 649 ONE 11: e0157977.
- 650 Noordhuis, R., 2002. The River Otter (Lontra canadensis) in Clarcke County (Georgia,
- 651 USA) Survey, Food Habits and Environmental Factors. IUCN Otter Specialist
 652 Group Bulletin 19: 75-86
- Oja, R., E. Soe, H. Valdmann & U. Saarma, 2017. Non-invasive genetics outperforms
 morphological methods in faecal dietary analysis, revealing wild boar as a
 considerable conservation concern for ground-nesting birds. PLoS ONE 12:
 e0179463.
- Orta, J., E. F. J. Garcia, F. Jutglar, G. M. Kirwan & P. Boesman, 2018. Great Cormorant
 (*Phalacrocorax carbo*). In del Hoyo, J., A. Elliott, J. Sargatal, D. A. Christie &

W. de Juana (eds), Handbook of the Birds of the World Alive. Lynx Edicions,Barcelona.

661	Palmeirim, A.F., C. A. Peres & F. C. W. Rosas, 2014. Giant otter population responses					
662	to habitat expansion and degradation by a mega hydroelectric dam. Biological					
663	Conservation 174: 30-38.					
664	Pedroso, N. M. & M. Santos-Reis, 2006. Summer diet of Eurasian otters in large dams					
665	of South Portugal. Hystrix, the Italian Journal of Mammalogy 17: 117-128.					
666	Pedroso, N. M., T. A. Marques & M. Santos-Reis, 2014. The response of otters to					
667	environmental changes imposed by the construction of large dams. Aquatic					
668	Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 24: 66-80.					
669	Remonti, L., C. Prigioni, A. Balestrieri & G. Priore, 2008. Trophic flexibility of the					
670	otter (Lutra lutra) in southern Italy. Mammalian Biology 73: 293-302.					
671	Roos, A., A. Loy, P. de Silva, P. Hajkova & B. Zemanová, 2015. Lutra lutra. The					
672	IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2015: e.T12419A21935287.					
673	Rozen, S. & H. J. Skaletsky, 2000. Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for					
674	biologist programmers. In Krawetz, S. & S. Misener (eds), Bioinformatics					
675	Methods and Protocols: Methods in Molecular Biology. Humana Press, Totowa,					
676	NJ: 365-386. Source code available at <u>http://sourceforge.net/projects/primer3/</u>					
677	Ruiz-Olmo, J. & M. Delibes (eds), 1998. La nutria en España ante el horizonte del año					
678	2.000. SECEM, Málaga, Spain.					
679	Ruiz-Olmo, J. & S. Palazón, 1997. The diet of the otter (Lutra lutra) in Mediterranean					

680 freshwater habitats. Journal of Wildlife Research 2: 171-181.

681	Sales-Luis, T., N. M. Pedroso & M. Santos-Reis, 2007. Prey availability and diet of the
682	Eurasian otter (Lutra lutra) on a large dam and associated tributaries. Canadian
683	Journal of Zoology 85: 1125-1135.

- 684 Schäffer, S., F. E. Zachos & S. Koblmüller, 2017. Opening the treasure chest: A DNA-
- barcoding primer set for most higher taxa of Central European birds andmammals from museum collections. PLoS ONE 12: e0174449.
- Taberlet, P., E. Coissac, R. Pompanon, C. Brochmann & E. Willerslev, 2012. Towards
 next-generation biodiversity assessment using DNA metabarcoding. Molecular
 Ecology 21: 2045-2050.
- 690 Thalinger, B., J. Oehm, H. Mayr, A. Obwexer, C. Zeisler & M. Traugott, 2016.
- Molecular prey identification in Central European piscivores. Molecular
 Ecology Resources 16: 123-137.
- 693 Vierna, J., J. Doña, A. Vizcaíno, D. Serrano & R. Jovani, 2017. PCR cycles above
- 694 routine numbers do not compromise high-throughput DNA barcoding results.695 Genome 60: 868-873.
- 696 Weinberger, I. C., S. Muff, A. de Jongh, A. Kranz & F. Bontadina, 2016. Flexible
- habitat selection paves the way for a recovery of otter populations in the
- European Alps. Biological Conservation 199: 88-95.

Table 1. Models explaining the shift from fish to crayfish in otter diet at the study site (Ratio) as a function of seasonal changes in stored water volume (vol), water temperature (temp) and cumulated number of great cormorants (cormo). K = number of estimable parameters; AICc = Akaike's Information Criterion corrected by small sample size; \triangle AICc = Difference between the AICc value of each model in relation to the AICc value of the model with the lowest AICc; w_i = Akaike's weight; LL = Loglikelihood. The best models of the set are highlighted in bold.

707

Model	K	AICc	\triangle AICc	Wi	LL
Ratio~vol	3	-12.16	0.00	0.52	10.58
Ratio~vol+cormo	4	-11.52	0.63	0.38	12.62
Ratio~vol+temp	4	-8.36	3.80	0.08	11.03
Ratio~vol*temp	5	-5.60	6.55	0.02	12.80
Ratio~temp	3	-2.64	9.52	0.00	5.82
Ratio~temp+cormo	4	-1.41	13.57	0.00	6.15
Ratio~cormo	3	-5.57	17.73	0.00	1.71

708

709

711 Figure legends

712

713 Fig. 1. Location of the Cecebre-Abegondo and Eiras reservoirs (a) and locations and

714 density of otter spraints at the study reservoir (b).

715

716

Fig. 2. Percent occurrence of all fish species found in 47 out of the 50 otter spraints

718 collected at Cecebre reservoir in autumn (October) and winter (February) as determined

519 by DNA metabarcoding. Most of the reads of three samples from February (Feb19,

Feb21, Feb23) showed low quality and could not be analysed.

721

Fig. 3. Counts of cormorants in rafts (i.e. dense groups of foraging birds in the water)

723 during the 2016 autumn at Cecebre reservoir. Counts are absolute numbers of birds in a

raft (a). Counts of cormorants at the only roosting site in the study area (i.e. reservoir

island) performed around half an hour before sunset from winter to spring. No

726 cormorants were present during the summer. Counts in this case are a relative index of

abundance as birds were counted only in half the roost (b).

728

729

- Fig. 1 a)



b)







738 Fig. 3a





