

	Pubmed	
S1	perception* [tw]	447,150
S2	perspective* [tw]	334,666
S3	view* [tw]	482,181
S4	opinion* [tw]	122,066
S5	experience* [tw]	1,152,919
S6	"Attitude"[Mesh]	584,000
S7	Know* [tw]	2,214,091
S8	"Adaptation, Psychological"[Mesh]	128,639
S9	"Emotions"[Mesh]	249,600
S10	OR S1-S9	4,695,453
S11	"Fathers"[Mesh]	9,284
S12	dad [tw]	8,069
S13	"Male"[Mesh]	8,701,982
S14	husband* [tw]	37,567
S15	partner [tw]	78,974
S16	"Men"[Mesh]	4,690
S17	OR S11-S16	8,783,658
S18	"pregnancy loss*" [tw]	7,338
S19	"involuntary pregnancy loss*" [tw]	13
S20	"Abortion, Spontaneous"[Mesh]	35,457
S21	"miscarriage*" [tw]	14,599
S22	"Stillbirth"[Mesh]	4,996
S23	"Fetal Death"[Mesh]	29,487
S24	OR S18-S23	72,587
S25	"Qualitative Research" [Mesh]	58,780
S26	"qualitative" [tw]	258,347
S27	"phenomenology*" [tw]	27,456
S28	"grounded theory" [tw]	12,217
S29	"ethnography*" [tw]	11,358
S30	"interview*" [tw]	401,753
S31	"focus group*" [tw]	54,347
S32	"Narration"[Mesh]	8,678
S33	"narrative*" [tw]	51,240
S34	OR S25-S33	647,018
S35	S10 AND S17 AND S24 AND S34	
	Language: English, Portuguese and Spanish	
		TOTAL: 283

S1	TITLE-ABS-KEY (experienc*)	2,570,763
S2	TITLE-ABS-KEY (perception*)	917,458
S3	TITLE-ABS-KEY (perspective*)	1,178,697
S4	TITLE-ABS-KEY (view*)	1,818,349
S5	TITLE-ABS-KEY (opinion*)	300,494
S6	TITLE-ABS-KEY (Attitude*)	898,521
S7	TITLE-ABS-KEY (Know*)	5,371,694
S8	TITLE-ABS-KEY (" Psychological adaptation")	1,652
S9	TITLE-ABS-KEY (cop*)	5,348,888
S10	TITLE-ABS-KEY (OR emotion*)	498,487
S11	OR S1-S10	15,736,888
S12	TITLE-ABS-KEY ("Father*")	95,370
S13	TITLE-ABS-KEY (dad*)	35,796
S14	TITLE-ABS-KEY (male*)	10,257,551
S15	TITLE-ABS-KEY (husband*)	62,161
S16	TITLE-ABS-KEY (partner*)	461,828
S17	TITLE-ABS-KEY (men)	1,251,867
S18	OR S12-S17	11,236,888
S19	TITLE-ABS-KEY ("pregnancy loss*")	8,834
S20	TITLE-ABS-KEY ("involuntary pregnancy loss*")	15
S21	TITLE-ABS-KEY ("Spontaneous abortion")	39,642
S22	TITLE-ABS-KEY (miscarriage*)	18,687
S23	TITLE-ABS-KEY (stillbirth*)	21,734
S24	TITLE-ABS-KEY ("fetal death*")	27,922
S25	OR S19-S24	91,737
S26	TITLE-ABS-KEY ("Qualitative Research")	127,250
S27	TITLE-ABS-KEY (qualitative)	730,435
S28	TITLE-ABS-KEY (phenomenolog*)	142,231
S29	TITLE-ABS-KEY ("grounded theory")	25,595
S30	TITLE-ABS-KEY (ethnograph*)	81,295
S31	TITLE-ABS-KEY (interview*)	800,435
S32	TITLE-ABS-KEY ("focus group*")	94,974
S33	TITLE-ABS-KEY (narration)	17,569
S34	TITLE-ABS-KEY (narrative*)	200,353
S35	OR S26-S34	12,554
S36	TITLE-ABS-KEY S11 AND TITLE-ABS-KEY S18 AND TITLE-ABS-KEY S25 AND TITLE-ABS-KEY S35	

Language: English, Portuguese and Spanish

TOTAL: 548



Cinahl

S1	“experience*”	450,609
S2	(MH "Life Experiences")	27,059
S3	(MH "Coping+")	36,847
S4	“view*”	132,949
S5	“opinion*”	44,870
S6	(MH "Attitude")	16,116
S7	(MH "Perception")	29,457
S8	(MH "Feeling (NANDA)")	0
S9	(MH "Knowledge")	12,702
S10	“need*”	673,676
S11	(MH "Adaptation, Psychological")	30,589
S12	OR TX S1-S11	1,305,704
S13	(MH "Fathers")	6,514
S14	(MM "Expectant Fathers")	362
S15	dad*	17,438
S16	(MM "Men")	4,248
S17	“husband*”	10,977
S18	“partner*”	88,553
S19	(MM "Men")	4,248
S20	OR TX S13-S19	152,365
S21	“pregnancy loss*”	2,017
S22	“involuntary pregnancy loss*”	10
S23	“Fetal Death”	5,372
S24	“Stillbirth”	8,988
S25	(MH "Abortion, Spontaneous")	4,959
S26	“miscarriage”	5,296
S27	OR TX S21-S26	12,544
S28	(MH "Qualitative Studies+")	152,087
S29	"qualitative"	175,543
S30	(MH "Interviews+")	224,232
S31	(MM "Focus Groups")	758
S32	(MH "Narratives")	18,198
S33	OR TX S28-S32	340,238
S34	TX S12 ANS TX S20 ANS TX S27 AND TX S33	
	Language: English, Portuguese and Spanish	
	TOTAL: 104	

	ProQuest	PsycINFO
S1	experienc*	506,296
S2	perception*	437,955
S3	perspective*	206,932
S4	view*	216,492
S5	opinion*	51,574
S6	Attitude*	439,137
S7	Know*	422,559
S8	"Psychological adaptation"	723
S9	cop*	99,728
S10	emotion*	311,683
S11	OR S1-S10	1,800,201
S12	"Father*"	37,106
S13	dad*	4,393
S14	male*	1,704,435
S15	husband*	11,007
S16	partner*	93,424
S17	men	175,066
S18	OR S12-S17	1,794,110
S19	("pregnancy loss")	312
S20	"involuntary pregnancy loss*"	5
S21	"Spontaneous abortion"	723
S22	miscarriage*	917
S23	stillbirth*	690
S24	("fetal death" OR "fetal deaths")	365
S25	OR S19-S24	2,135
S26	"Qualitative Research"	33,044
S27	qualitative	237,551
S28	phenomenolog*	25,041
S29	"grounded theory"	10,072
S30	ethnograph*	19,019
S31	interview*	435,462
S32	("focus group" OR "focus groups")	39,424
S33	narration	4,813
S34	narrative*	47,253
S35	OR S26-S34	287,378
S36	S11 AND S18 AND S25 AND S35	

Language: English, Portuguese and Spanish

TOTAL: 107

WEB OF SCIENCE™		Web of Science
S1	experienc*	2,847,558
S2	perception*	975,188
S3	perspective*	2,154,507
S4	view*	5,323,957
S5	opinion*	298,682
S6	Attitude*	982,137
S7	Know*	5,315,930
S8	"Psychological adaptation"	2,158
S9	cop*	3,226,251
S10	emotion*	519,320
S11	OR S1-S10	17,655,838
S12	"Father*"	107,999
S13	dad*	114,262
S14	male*	10,590,005
S15	husband*	416,869
S16	partner*	405,179
S17	men	3,158,290
S18	OR S12-S17	13,003,759
S19	("pregnancy loss")	11,922
S20	"involuntary pregnancy loss*"	17
S21	"Spontaneous abortion"	15,984
S22	miscarriage*	25,302
S23	stillbirth*	20,958
S24	("fetal death" OR "fetal deaths")	37,812
S25	OR S19-S24	17,985
S26	"Qualitative Research"	117,697
S27	qualitative	679,301
S28	phenomenolog*	209,945
S29	"grounded theory"	25,286
S30	ethnograph*	74,355
S31	interview*	779,660
S32	("focus group" OR "focus groups")	92,025
S33	narration	22,855
S34	narrative*	216,814
S35	OR S26-S34	1,749,045
S36	S11 AND S18 AND S25 AND S35	
Language: English, Portuguese and Spanish		
		TOTAL: 175

Supplementary File 2 eMERGe reporting guideline

No.	Criteria Headings	Reporting Criteria	Meta-ethnography criteria met (Page No)
Phase 1—Selecting meta-ethnography and getting started			
	<i>Introduction</i>		

No.	Criteria Headings	Reporting Criteria	Meta-ethnography criteria met (Page No)
1	Rationale and context for the meta-ethnography	Describe the gap in research or knowledge to be filled by the meta-ethnography, and the wider context of the meta-ethnography	Pages 2-3
2	Aim(s) of the meta-ethnography	Describe the meta-ethnography aim(s)	Page 3
3	Focus of the meta-ethnography	Describe the meta-ethnography review question(s) (or objectives)	Page 3
4	Rationale for using meta-ethnography	Explain why meta-ethnography was considered the most appropriate qualitative synthesis methodology	Pages 2-3
Phase 2—Deciding what is relevant			
<i>Methods</i>			
5	Search strategy	Describe the rationale for the literature search strategy	Page 4, Supplementary File 1
6	Search processes	Describe how the literature searching was carried out and by whom	Pages 4-6
7	Selecting primary studies	Describe the process of study screening and selection, and who was involved	Pages 4-6
<i>Findings</i>			
8	Outcome of study selection	Describe the results of study searches and screening	Page 15
Phase 3—Reading included studies			
<i>Methods</i>			
9	Reading and data extraction approach	Describe the reading and data extraction method and processes	Pages 6-14
<i>Findings</i>			
10	Presenting characteristics of included studies	Describe characteristics of the included studies	Page 15
Phase 4—Determining how studies are related			
<i>Methods</i>			
11	Process for determining how studies are related	Describe the methods and processes for determining how the included studies are related: - Which aspects of studies were compared AND - How the studies were compared	Pages 6-14
<i>Findings</i>			
12	Outcome of relating	Describe how studies relate to each other	Page 15

No.	Criteria Headings	Reporting Criteria	Meta-ethnography criteria met (Page No)
	studies		
Phase 5—Translating studies into one another			
<i>Methods</i>			
13	Process of translating studies	Describe the methods of translation: - Describe steps taken to preserve the context and meaning of the relationships between concepts within and across studies- Describe how the reciprocal and refutational translations were conducted- Describe how potential alternative interpretations or explanations were considered in the translations	Pages 6-14
<i>Findings</i>			
14	Outcome of translation	Describe the interpretive findings of the translation.	Pages 15-22
Phase 6—Synthesizing translations			
<i>Methods</i>			
15	Synthesis process	Describe the methods used to develop overarching concepts (“synthesised translations”) Describe how potential alternative interpretations or explanations were considered in the synthesis	Pages 6-14
<i>Findings</i>			
16	Outcome of synthesis process	Describe the new theory, conceptual framework, model, configuration, or interpretation of data developed from the synthesis	Pages 15-22 and figure 2
Phase 7—Expressing the synthesis			
<i>Discussion</i>			
17	Summary of findings	Summarize the main interpretive findings of the translation and synthesis and compare them to existing literature	Pages 22-25
18	Strengths, limitations, and reflexivity	Reflect on and describe the strengths and limitations of the synthesis: - Methodological aspects—for example, describe how the synthesis findings were influenced by the nature of the included studies and how the meta-ethnography was conducted.- Reflexivity—for example, the impact of the research team on the synthesis findings	Page 25
19	Recommendations and conclusions	Describe the implications of the synthesis	Pages 22-26

