Knee OA study*		Placebo (n=154)	Tanezumab 2.5 mg (n=154)	Tanezumab 5 mg (n=156)
WOMAC Pain at week 24 [†]	LS mean (SE)	-2.30 (0.23)	-2.98 (0.23)	-2.85 (0.23)
	LS mean difference vs. placebo (SE)		-0.68 (0.31)	-0.55 (0.31)
	p value		0.027	0.071
WOMAC Physical Function at week 24 [†]	LS mean (SE)	-1.98 (0.22)	-2.66 (0.22)	-2.62 (0.22)
	LS mean difference vs. placebo (SE)		-0.68 (0.29)	-0.64 (0.29)
	p value		0.021	0.029
PGA-OA at week 24 [†]	LS mean (SE)	-0.52 (0.08)	-0.74 (0.08)	-0.68 (0.08)
	LS mean difference vs. placebo (SE)		-0.22 (0.10)	-0.16 (0.10)
	p value		0.035	0.125
Treatment response: ≥30% reduction from baseline in WOMAC Pain at week 24 ⁺ .	Number of patients with treatment response	75 (48.7%)	92 (59.7%)	93 (59.6%)
	Odds ratio vs. placebo		1.58	1.58
	p value		0.046	0.047
Treatment response: ≥50% reduction from baseline in WOMAC Pain at week 24‡	Number of patients with treatment response	62 (40.3%)	73 (47.4%)	70 (44.9%)
	Odds ratio vs. placebo		1.36	1.23
	p value		0.189	0.376

Supplementary Table 1: Change from baseline for secondary endpoints assessed at 24 weeks in knee OA study using intravenous administration of tanezumab*

Some of these data are previously unpublished. Modified intent-to-treat population, with baseline observation carried forward to impute missing data.

*Brown MT, Murphy FT, Radin DM, et al. Tanezumab reduces osteoarthritic knee pain: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III trial. *J Pain* 2012;13:790–8. This study also assessed tanezumab 10 mg, and this treatment group has been omitted from the table for clarity.

[†]Based on ANCOVA model with treatment as main effect, baseline value as a covariate and study site as a random effect, and p value based on ANCOVA from pairwise comparisons.

Based on logistic regression model with treatment as a main effect, and baseline value as a covariate, and p value based on logistic regression model from pairwise comparisons versus placebo.

ANCOVA, analysis of covariance; LS, least squares; OA, osteoarthritis; PGA-OA, Patient's Global Assessment of OA; SE, standard error; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.

Hip OA study*		Placebo (n=154)	Tanezumab 2.5 mg (n=151)	Tanezumab 5 mg (n=150)
WOMAC Pain at week 24 [†]	LS mean (SE)	-1.36 (0.23)	-2.52 (0.23)	-2.89 (0.24)
	LS mean difference vs. placebo (SE)		-1.16 (0.30)	-1.52 (0.30)
	p value		<0.001	< 0.001
WOMAC Physical Function at week 24 [†]	LS mean (SE)	-1.23 (0.21)	-2.18 (0.21)	-2.57 (0.22)
	LS mean difference vs. placebo (SE)		-0.96 (0.29)	-1.35 (0.29)
	p value		< 0.001	< 0.001
PGA-OA at week 24 [†]	LS mean (SE)	-0.33 (0.07)	-0.59 (0.07)	-0.74 (0.08)
	LS mean difference vs. placebo (SE)		-0.26 (0.10)	-0.41 (0.10)
	p value		0.008	< 0.001
Treatment response: ≥30% reduction from baseline in WOMAC Pain at week 24‡	Number of patients with treatment response	43 (27.9%)	72 (47.7%)	86 (57.3%)
	Odds ratio vs. placebo		2.38	3.49
	p value		< 0.001	< 0.001
Treatment response: ≥50% reduction from baseline in WOMAC Pain at week 24‡	Number of patients with treatment response	30 (19.5%)	56 (37.1%)	69 (46.0%)
	Odds ratio vs. placebo		2.45	3.54
	p value		< 0.001	< 0.001

Supplementary Table 2: Change from baseline for secondary endpoints assessed at 24 weeks in hip OA study using intravenous administration of tanezumab*

Some of these data are previously unpublished. Modified intent-to-treat population, with baseline observation carried forward to impute missing data.

*Brown MT, Murphy FT, Radin DM, et al. Tanezumab reduces osteoarthritic hip pain: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2013;65:1795–803. This study also assessed tanezumab 10 mg, and this treatment group has been omitted from the table for clarity.

[†]Based on ANCOVA model with treatment as main effect, baseline value as a covariate and study site as a random effect, and p value based on ANCOVA from pairwise comparisons.

Based on logistic regression model with treatment as a main effect, and baseline value as a covariate, and p value based on logistic regression model from pairwise comparisons versus placebo.

ANCOVA, analysis of covariance; LS, least squares; OA, osteoarthritis; PGA-OA, Patient's Global Assessment of OA; SE, standard error; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.