# The Tram-Train: A New Public Transport System

**Submission date: 20th March 2002.**

**Number of words: 4996+10x250=7496 words.**

**Corresponding author: Miguel R. Bugarín.**

**Authors:**
Margarita Novales. Civil Engineer. Researcher. La Coruña University.
Address: ETS Ingenieros de Caminos, Canales y Puertos. Campus de Elviña, s/n. E-15071. A Coruña. Spain
Telephone: + 34 981 167000 Ext.: 1452
Fax: + 34 981 167170
E-mail: novales@iccp.udc.es

Alfonso Orro. Civil Engineer, MSc. Transportation Assistant Professor. La Coruña University.
Address: ETS Ingenieros de Caminos, Canales y Puertos. Campus de Elviña, s/n. E-15071. A Coruña. Spain
Telephone: + 34 981 167000 Ext.: 1450
Fax: + 34 981 167170
E-mail: orro@iccp.udc.es

Miguel R. Bugarín. Civil Engineer, MSc, PhD. Railways Associate Professor. La Coruña University
Address: ETS Ingenieros de Caminos, Canales y Puertos. Campus de Elviña, s/n. E-15071. A Coruña. Spain
Telephone: + 34 981 167000 Ext.: 1449
Fax: + 34 981 167170
E-mail: bugarin@iccp.udc.es

## ABSTRACT

In response to the demand for improved mobility in metropolitan areas, the 1990s saw the development in Europe of a new transport system known as the tram-train. This system is based on the use of conventional railway lines with a low traffic density in order to extend urban tram (streetcar) or light rail services without the need to change vehicle, incorporating them into railway traffic. This allows for a wider range and scope of direct transport services and reduces waiting times and changes. The operation of light rail vehicles on conventional railway infrastructure involves finding solutions to a number of technical issues such as traction power supply system, rolling stock design, gauge, tyre and rail profile, structural strength, passenger access, signaling, etc. This paper describes these problems and the solutions arrived at by services currently in operation, or in advanced planning stages, world-wide.


## 1 INTRODUCTION

Conventional light rail vehicles generally run at sight (that is, with no other signaling apart from traffic lights at grade crossings) and are either integrated into or separated from urban traffic. They do not run at high speeds but do require excellent acceleration and deceleration performance and consequently an especially light design.

Conventional railway vehicles however, normally run on completely separate tracks and very rarely interface with other means of transport (with the exception of grade crossings). Train regulation, including routing and separation, are controlled by a signaling system. The points and signals are controlled from a traffic control center and cannot normally be activated individually. This high level of control makes the system extremely safe relative to road transport and allows for relatively high maximum speeds. (*1*)

The combination of both systems has created a new concept, known as the tram-train, which consists of a light rail vehicle which has been specially adapted to run on both urban track (corresponding to an existing or newly created tram system) and on conventional railway tracks.

## 2 ESSENTIAL FEATURES

As described above, the tram-train is a modified light rail vehicle. The following sections describe some of the technical features which have been adopted in comparison with conventional light rail vehicles:

### 2.1 Traction power supply system

This is a question of vital importance. Most existing light rail systems have traction power supplies in the range 600-750 V DC, whilst conventional railways mostly use far higher traction voltages: for instance, 1500 or 3000 V DC and 15000 or 25000 V AC.

If a railway line is not electrified, no technical problems usually arise in electrifying it for light rail operations. It is normally possible to meet the clearance requirements necessary in order to allow conventional rail vehicles to pass under the light rail wires without the need to raise existing structures. However, the line owner may refuse to give approval for light rail electrification, as this could create an “entry barrier” for other potential train operators who may wish to use the line in the future. (*2*)

In order to run on railway or metro lines that have been electrified to a higher voltage, it is necessary to adapt the existing vehicle traction devices to dual voltage. The design of this equipment is complex, as it must be adapted to fit into the existing available space. The use of diesel light rail may be suitable in some cases, especially on longer routes with a relatively high degree of separation and low traffic density. Similar vehicles could be used in town and city centres using a hybrid traction or energy storage system. (*2*)

### 2.2 Track gauge

The several systems (tram or light rail and conventional railway or metro) must have the same track gauge in order to be compatible. Should this not be the case, then several possible solutions available include a third rail, a four rails track or even the use of vehicles with an adjustable axle, which have yet to be developed on the tram market. The solution will depend on the particular circumstances in each case, and will require careful study.

### 2.3 Structure gauge

In principle, light rail car bodies are narrower than those of heavy rail vehicles (conventional rail and metro). However, it must be pointed out that the Railway Safety Principles and Guidance Part 2, Section B, “Guidance on Stations”, states that “platforms should have a clearance of at least 50 mm to the swept envelope. The platform level should be determined taken into account all rolling stock using the platform”. (*3*)

Problems may also arise with the gauge in the lower parts because of the current trend for low floor light rail vehicles.

### 2.4 Rail Type/Tyre profile

The wheel-rail interface is the basic element for the movement of rail vehicles. Generally, the set of specific wheel dimensions between conventional and light rail vehicles varies (distance between the two flanges, tyre width and coning angle, etc.). This is because the groove on tram rails is relatively narrow and shallow to avoid creating hazards for street users (pedestrians, bicycles, motorbikes, etc.). The diameter of light rail wheels is small, normally ranging from 500-750 mm (new), and can be even smaller (375 mm) on some modern low floor designs. On conventional railway tracks these vehicles can derail on turnouts or crossings, as the size of the crossing nose gaps and check rails do not guarantee that the axles will be guided safely, due to the reduced thickness of the wheel flanges. (*1, 2*)

It is thus necessary to develop a wheel profile which is capable of running on different types of rail, with varying inclination, minimising noise levels and wear and tear. (*2*)

### 2.5 Structural strength

Passive safety is understood as the protection afforded to those involved in a collision. Active safety is the degree of collision prevention. (*1*)

Light rail vehicles normally offer a greater degree of active safety than conventional railway vehicles, yet normally fail to meet railway vehicle requirements in terms of crashworthiness, as included in the UIC (*Union Internationale des Chemins de Fer* – International Union of Railways) leaflet 651. According to this UIC standard, the car body must be able to withstand a minimum 1500 kN compressive proof load. Typical compressive proof loads for LRVs are 200 kN for French trams and 600 kN for German tram-train. (*1*)

British Rail Research carried out a study into the possibility of building light rail vehicles which met railway crashworthiness standards. They concluded that this was not feasible with available technology. Key factors to be taken into account are that the driver is required to have a clear view of the street traffic around him, and there are variations in floor height and vehicle size. (*2*)

So, since to make light rail vehicles as crashworthy as conventional rail vehicles, whilst maintaining their relatively low mass, is not technically feasible at present, the interoperation of the two vehicle types requires the adoption of a risk reduction strategy, in such a way that the risks of a collision occurring between the two must be reduced to as low as reasonably practicable (ALARP).

### 2.6 Safety and communication systems

The incorporation of light vehicles onto shared track should not reduce the level of safety of the system. In order to assure completely train separation, a series of measures may be implemented affecting the vehicle, the infrastructure and operations. Wherever possible, the vehicles using shared tracks will be equipped with compatible operation mechanisms (such as ATP – automatic train protection) and the infrastructure must also guarantee that the vehicles are noticeable and capable of interpreting the signals in each type of running system. (*1*)

### 2.7 Passenger access

It may be necessary to adapt existing platforms on a shared track in order to guarantee safety on both types of vehicles and improve accessibility. The narrow car body of light rail vehicles may be compensated for by means of retractable steps. The demand for gap free and level access requires more complex technical solutions, especially if floor (or platform) heights and vehicle widths vary. (*1*)

Light rail vehicles might be fitted with sliding floor plates to close the gap between the vehicle and the platform. Light rail vehicles are often fitted with sliding plates of this type to improve access for those with impaired mobility. (*4*)

## 3 CASE STUDIES

The following are examples of operations which are currently either operating or under construction.

### 3.1 Karlsruhe (Germany)

The metropolitan area of Karlsruhe has a population of some 550,000 inhabitants. The most important feature in understanding the tram-train is the fact that the city's main railway station is located on the outskirts of the city, some 2 km south of the centre. As a result, passengers travelling from other parts of the region to the city by train had to change at the station to either the tram or bus network. This had a negative impact on regional rail transport, as it lowered rail users' perception of the quality of service. In order to boost regional mobility, the decision was taken to eliminate the need to change to another means of transport by offering direct services to or from Karlsruhe on trams that ran on existing conventional rail infrastructure.

The first railway that was used to operate trams was the Karlsruhe-Bretten-Gölhausen line, with a total length of 30.2 km, and which was opened on 27 September 1992. The success of the system led to its extension to other lines around Karlsruhe (see figure 1). (*5*)

The functional requirements necessary for operation of this shared track system were as follows (*A*):

- The vehicles to be used had to be capable of running on light rail lines within the city area and on conventional DB railway tracks in the regional area. Both the compatibility of the rolling stock and the safety had to be assured.
- Two different sets of regulations had to be met. They were: the German regulations over the building and operation of trams (*Verordnung über den Bau und Betrieb der Strassenbahnen – Strassenbahn-Bau-und-Betriebsordnung, BOStrab* –); and the German railway building and operating regulations (*Eisenbahn-Bau-und-Betriebsordnung, EBO*).
- The different networks involved must be connected.
- The new network had to include the construction of further stops along the existing conventional railway lines, which could be used without increasing journey time thanks to the improved acceleration of the light rail vehicles.

A description of the technical solutions offered to some of the compatibility problems already discussed in section 2 is given below.

#### *3.1.1 Electrification*

In Karlsruhe, the DB tracks are electrified at 15 kV 16 2/3 Hz AC, whilst the urban tram lines are supplied at 750 V DC. The engineers of ABB Henschel designed and built an electronic power system based on the chopper, using a highly compact format, enabling it to be fitted in the small space available on the light rail vehicles. The additional electrical equipment is installed in the central section of the vehicle. A transformer and a rectifier step down the 15kV AC current to 750 V DC current and supply the DC equipment in the vehicle. All the equipment is fitted above the roof or under the floor, and does not therefore reduce the space available in the passenger cabin (see figure 2). (*6*, *7*)

On the track, in the transition areas, the vehicle changes automatically from direct to alternating current and the driver only has to put the controller in neutral position. The vehicle automatically detects the new voltage and adapts accordingly. The driver can follow this operation by watching the line voltmeter and three control pictographs on his instruments. As the vehicle travels freely whilst it changes voltage in a neutral section, these sections are deliberately located away from restrictions such as signals, grade crossings and stops. (*6*, *7*, *8*)

#### *3.1.2 Structural strength*

The vehicle meets the construction and operational requirements for both trams and trains. Only the 600 kN compressive proof loading does not meet the requirements included in UIC leaflet 651. The reason for this has previously been discussed in section 2.

#### *3.1.3 Safety and communication systems.*

The Karlsruhe railcars are the first urban vehicles to be equipped with two different safety systems; the INDUSI (*Induktive Signalsicherung* – Inductive Signal Protection) system (the DB – *Deutsche Bahn* – German Railways – signalling repetition system) and the IMU (*Induktives*

*Meldungsübertragungssystem* – Inductive Transmission System) system, with automatic stopping, corresponding to the transport services of the city of Karlsruhe (AVG – *Albtal Verkehrs Gesellschaft* –) and the Albtal transport company. (*7*, *8*)

In the driver's cab, next to the AVG radio, the DB transmission system is also installed. This latter system enables the drivers to announce their incorporation onto the line, as required by DB regulations, and also inform the station traffic controllers of the tram integrity. (*7*, *8*)

In relation with this issue, the VDV (*Verband Deutscher Verkehrsunternehmen* – German Transport Companies Association) guidance for operation of light rail vehicles in mixed operation according to BOStrab and EBO, in its section 6, states that there must be specific operation rules for vehicles running on shared tracks, due to the fact that there are two different companies involved.

In addition, this guidance states that railway staff must be trained in operation of the two different systems (light rail and conventional rail). The exchange of staff between different companies is regulated by common guidelines for VDV and DB.

#### *3.1.4 Tyre profile*

Two problems arose related to the unguided length for wheelsets at DB standard points and crossings **[7]**:

- Firstly, this gap is longer than that arising in light rail crossings.
- Secondly, the check rail facing the nose crossings is placed at such a distance from the rail that it allows the wheel flange, thinner than that of conventional railway rolling stock, to strike this point and even to cause the wheel to jump in a direction opposite to that switching.

In Karlsruhe this problem was solved by using a special type of tyre shown in figure 3. The narrow flange meets standard requirements for street tramcars, but the interior part of the wheel, which is wider over the level of the street surface, comes into contact with the check rail (which has to be raised) and whose gap is designed in accordance with heavy railway wheels (see figure 4). (*4*)

#### *3.1.5 Platform heights*

In order to solve the problem of the co-existence of high platforms on conventional railway lines (380, 550 and 760 mm) and the low city platform (200 mm), the vehicle is fitted with retractable steps which adapt the vehicle access height according to the type of area it is in.

#### *3.1.6 Results*

The results obtained after the opening of the first shared traffic line in Karlsruhe were highly satisfactory. Since its opening, there has been a 479% rise in passenger numbers (from 553,660 to 2,554,976 users), 40% of whom were former private car users. The number of passengers using the service at weekends has also increased. (*A*)

### 3.2 Saarbrücken (Germany)

The city of Saarbrücken, with 196,000 inhabitants and 101,000 jobs, is the capital and economic centre of the area known as Land de Saar, with a total population of more than a million people. (*9*)

The first stretch of line with shared tracks was opened on 24 October 1997. Figure 5 shows a plan of the light rail line.

The technology used in the case of Saarbrücken is basically the same as that used for Karlsruhe, but with two main differences: on the one hand, the use of a low floor vehicle, and, on the other hand, the fact that trams had not been in use in Saarbrücken since 1965, thereby avoiding the need to take the characteristics of existing trams into prior consideration.

As with the case of Karlsruhe, the solutions provided for some of the most important issues are described below.

#### *3.2.1 Electrification*

The question of electrification was solved in the same way as in the case of Karlsruhe, except that the length of the neutral section, where the change in voltage takes place, is 80 m, and not 170 m as in Karlsruhe.

### *3.2.2 Signalling and operational aids.*

Except for the Köllertalbahn and the section between Brebach and Sarregumines, the tram-train runs mainly on line of sight, without signalling, in accordance with the BOStrab regulations. Signalling only exists on single track stretches. (*5*, *10*)

The Köllertalbahn continues to be used as a conventional railway line, fitted with classical DB signalling (main and advanced signals). Occupied track detection is carried out by means of axle counter devices. (*10*)

The DB tracks use inductive train safety devices and automatic colour light blocks, thereby assuring safety. (*5*)

### *3.2.3 Tyre characteristics*

As the Saarbahn (the Saarbrücken light rail) is a completely new system and therefore does not need to be connected to the existing urban tracks, it has been possible to select a tyre of the type traditionally used on the German railways, thereby avoiding problems of compatibility with DB infrastructure. (*10*, *11*, *12*)

### *3.2.4 Platform heights*

Within the city area, the station platforms are 350 mm above the track. The platform edge is 1.40 m from the track axis, leaving a 75 mm horizontal gap between the door and the platform (vehicle width is 2.65 m). At the stops that also incorporate a bus stop, this height drops to 200 mm. (*5*, *10*)

On the railway sections, the platforms are at a height of 380 mm (in line with EBO regulations) and the platform edge is approximately 1.60 m from the track axis, leaving a horizontal gap of 275 mm. This gap is covered by a retractable step with a total extension of 197 mm, thereby reducing the gap to around 78 mm. (*10*)

### *3.2.5 Low floor*

The lower section of the tram-train kinematic envelope is 75 mm over the track, which allows the vehicle to pass over the DB track devices.

## 3.3 Kassel (Germany)

In May 1995, tramline 5 was extended as far as Baunatal in the south-east, using a private goods line running from Kassel to Naumburg. The number of passengers rose from 2,800 to 5,800 a day. The difference in width between tramcars and the traditional railcar bodies meant that a special solution was required at the stops. This consisted of diverting the tram line from the track axis, thereby creating a four rail section (see figure 6) and enabling both systems to use the same 20 cm high platform. (*13*, *B*)

To the east of the city, tramlines 4 and 8 were extended as far as Kaufungen Papierfabrik in 1999. Since its opening, the number of passengers on this short section has risen by 16%. Work is currently being carried out on the Lossetalbahn from Kaufungen Papierfabrik to Helsa. The 14 km long line will make use of the old Waldkappeler Van railway line, which was used exclusively for freight trains. Part of the line will be converted to double rail and catenary will be installed. (*B*)

These extensions are part of a more ambitious tram-train plan for Kassel called the Regiotram. It includes an interchange at Kassel Hauptbahnof (the main railway station), and a new tram line in the city centre. This provides a direct link from the city centre to the cities and towns around Kassel. Current plans for the Regiotram network include 8 lines (see figure 7), and construction of several of these lines is planned for 2001 and 2002. Vehicles similar to those used in Saarbrücken would run along the line. (*B*)

## 3.4 Sunderland (England).

The aim is to create a link between Sunderland and Newcastle, using metro vehicles on Railtrack infrastructure between Pelaw and Sunderland (see figure 8). (*5*)

The existing metro system has a total of 59 kilometres. The shared section between Pelaw and Sunderland would add a further 14 km, and another 4.5 km would be built between Sunderland and South Hylton. This would increase the number of stations on the network by 12, 8 of which would be new, and the remaining 4 existing stations would be upgraded in order to meet metro standards and requirements. (*5*)

### *3.4.1 Signalling and operational aid.*

The conventional railway is to be fitted with TPWS (Train Protection and Warning System), and the Indusi inductive loop protection system will be installed for the metro cars. It will have an integrated radio infrastructure in order to enable staff at Railtrack's IECC (Integrated Electronic Control Centre) to talk to all vehicles on the line, both metro and conventional trains. (*5*)

### *3.4.2 Catenary*

The 1500 V DC catenary system will be installed at a height of 5.08 m, with no grade crossings in the line.

As no conventional electric rolling stock will be running on this route, there will be no problems of compatibility. (*5*)

### *3.4.3 Rail features*

The slight difference in rail width between traditional railway lines (1432 mm) and the standard width (1435 mm) is not expected to cause any operational difficulties. The widths are sufficiently similar as to guarantee that there will be no restrictions during normal operations, although they will exist at the two sections where the metro joins the rail network. (*5*)

## 4 IMPLEMENTATION STUDIES IN OTHER CITIES

The table 1 details the characteristics that best define some of the projects currently under study for the incorporation of a tram-train system into the transport network. It also includes the characteristics of the systems currently in operation, described in earlier sections.

As far as Spain is concerned, plans exist to introduce a system of this type in Valencia, between the metro and tram. In the case of Bilbao, studies were made looking into the possibility of a future tram system running on certain lines belonging to the Ferrocarriles Vascos (Basque Railways), although for the moment this option has been ruled out. Lastly, several options are currently under consideration for the city of Madrid.

## 5 ADVANTAGES OF THE TRAM-TRAIN CONCEPT

Traditional rail services are unable to provide a convenient door to door service. Travelling by public transport often requires using a combination of buses and trains, changes, long waiting times, uncertainty, and fairly long distances that must be covered on foot. (*2*)

It is generally accepted that any additional journey time, apart from that necessary for the main means of transport used (i.e., waiting times, changes and access time), is considered in a very negative way by passengers. One of the main advantages of the tram-train concept is the elimination of this times.

Moreover, it is often the case that older rail networks do not serve the routes on which current transport demands are concentrated. (*2*)

Urban inter-operability could be achieved by using the same vehicle on existing rail and tram infrastructure, and incorporating new sections of light rail in order to create an integrated network. This would provide a system which would be able to compete effectively with private transport, requiring less investment and with a lower impact on the environment than a light rail system with completely new lines. (*2*)

This type of service offers a number of advantages:

**Financial advantages:**

- Existing traditional railway infrastructure can be used, thereby reducing the amount of investment necessary in new infrastructure.
- The need to build long sections of new track necessary for new lines is avoided, thereby offering considerable cost savings compared with completely new light rail systems.
- Increases in passenger numbers provide extra income, thereby reducing subsidies on annual operational costs. The increase in passenger numbers is the result on the one hand of additional stations, improved links with the urban system and more direct links with residential and business areas. On the other hand, this increase is also due to the improved quality and image of the light rail system, encouraging private car users to change to this mode of transport without any sensation of "loss".

- Vehicle composition may be adjusted during periods of low traffic density (evenings, Saturdays and Sundays), thereby reducing total running costs.
- Operation costs for this kind of vehicles are lower in comparison with traditional rolling stock.

**Advantages for passengers**

- Public transport users save time, as the tram-train can reach speeds double those of buses. Door to door travelling time is comparable with that of the private car, as running times between stations are reduced thanks to the braking and acceleration values of light rail vehicles in comparison with traditional trains. Stopping times at stations are also shorter, thanks to improved passenger access due to the number of side access doors. Finally, waiting times between different modes of transport are reduced.
- Direct access from the region to the main business and shopping centres, without the need to change to another mode of transport, as occurred before the introduction of these services.
- Punctuality rates are extremely high, as this means of transport is not affected by road traffic incidents.
- Greater comfort, due to an increased number of larger seats in each car and their improved dynamic features, which make for a smoother journey.
- The system is easy to use, as its introduction is usually accompanied by improved passenger information systems, with electronic information devices at stops, normally operated from the control centre, specifying the arrival time of the next vehicle, as well as the stops along the route and waiting times.
- Integrated pricing, due to the fact that an operating company is normally set up to take charge of planning and co-ordinating the timetables and prices of both urban and regional public transport in order to make it user-friendly.
- An increase in the number of stops on the routes previously covered exclusively by trains means that stations are now closer to potential users, which makes the system more accessible.
- Greater frequency of light rail services compared with traditional rail services, thereby reducing waiting times at stops.

**Non-user benefits**

- Reduced congestion on motorways and local roads
- Reduction in the need for investment in road building and maintenance.
- Lower environmental impact
- Savings on parking costs.
- Savings on costs arising from accidents.

## 6 TERMINOLOGY EXPLANATION

In this section an explanation is made to have correspondences between European and U.S. technology references. It is important to point that we use the term “tram” with the meaning of “streetcar”. Characteristics of rail transit modes mentioned are shown in table 2.

## 7 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Spanish Inter-ministerial Commission for Science and Technology (Comisión Interministerial de Ciencia y Tecnología) for the financial support they have offered through the Technological Research and Development Project TRA99-0291.

## 8 REFERENCES

1. UITP, Light Rail Committee, *Track sharing* (working document), Montpellier, 2001.
2. Griffin, Trevor, “Inter-operable urban rail transport”, *Institution of Mechanical Engineers*, 1996, pp. 109-118.
3. *Railway Safety Principles and Guidance*, Health and Safety Executive Books, London, 1996, ISBN: 0 7176 0713 5.
4. Griffin, Trevor, “Light rail transit sharing the Railtrack system”, *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers – Transport*, London, 2, 1996, pp. 98-103.

5. ScanRail Consult, DK, *Integrating local and regional rail, incl. cross-border aspects*, GROWTH Project GRD1-1999-10843 of FP5, 2001.
6. Drechsler, Georg, “Light railway on conventional railway tracks in Karlsruhe, Germany”, *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers – Transport*, London, 2, 1996, pp. 81-87.
7. Ludwig, Dieter; Brand, Werner; Wallochny, Felix; Gache, André, “En matière de transports urbains et regionaux: Karlsruhe, un exemple a méditer…”, *Chemins de Fer*, Paris, 422, 5/1993, pp. 8-16.
8. Hérissé, Philippe, “Le tramway à la mode de Karlsruhe”, *La Vie du Rail*, 2377, 7 January 1993, pp. 12-20.
9. Hope, R., “Saarbrücken to launch second dual-mode LRT in the autumn”, *Railway Gazette International*, Sutton, 3, 1997, pp. 155-157.
10. Krempper, Michel, “Où en est le Tram-train de Sarrebruck?”, *CDR – Connaissance du Rail*, Valignat, 210, January 1999, pp. 11-17.
11. Keudel, Walter, “La Saarbahn – Le nouveau système de transport urbain et régional sur voie ferrée de la région de Sarrebruck”, *Transport Public International*, Paris, 4, 1998, pp. 25-31.
12. Veinnant, Bernard; Cacciaguerra, Frédéric, “Un tram-train nommé succès: l’exemple de Sarrebruck”, *Revue genérale des chemins de fer*, Paris, 11-12, 1998, pp. 35-42.
13. Catling, David; Guillossou, Maudez; Rovere, Giovanni; Stefanovic, Gradimir, *Ease of use of light rail systems*, UITP, Paris, 1995.
14. Harris, Nigel G; Ernest W., *Planning Passenger Railways: a handbook*, Transport Publishing Co. Ltd., January 1997. ISBN: 0 86317 174 5.
15. Ausschuβ für Bahnbau; Schienenfahrzeug- Ausschuβ, Einsatz von Stadtbahn-Fahrzeugen im Mischbetrieb nach BOStrab und EBO, July 1995.
16. Vuchik, Vukan R., *Urban public transportation. Systems and technology*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., New Jersey, 1981. ISBN: 0-13-939496-6.

**Web sites:**

A “Karlsruhe: The Karlsruhe model of a dual-mode railway”. (1996). <http://www.eaue.de/winuwd/85.htm>. Page of the European Academy of the Urban Environment.

B “Trams on railtracks – a versatile and simple success”. (2000). <http://www.euronet.nl/~wijzer>.

C “Kasseler Strassenbahn”. <http://www.talknet.de/~toepel/regiotram>.

## TABLES INDEX



## FIGURES INDEX

# TABLE 1 Main data of some tram-train systems (in operation or planned)

| City | Population | Rail gauge (mm) | Light rail gauge (mm) | Tram-train gauge (mm) | Electric supply (rail) | Electric supply (light rail) | Electric supply (tram-train) | Rail lines to be used | Kind of rail traffic | Rail operator | Light rail/tram operator | Tram-train operator | Minimum radius | Urban platform height (mm) | Rail platform height (mm) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Nantes | 547000 | 1435 | 1435 | 1435 | None (1) | 750 V DC | 750 V/25 kV | Haluchère - Sucé sur Erdre | Freight | SNCF | SEMITAN | - | 25 m | 250 | 380-550 |
| Strasbourg | 430000 | 1435 | 1435 | - | 25 kV & not electrified | - | 750 V/25 kV (2) | Esplanade-Molsheim-Obernai-Barr Molsheim-Gresswiller | Passengers & freight | SNCF | CTS | SIBS | 23 m | 280 | 350-385 |
| Geneva | 400000 | 1435 | 1000 | 1435 (3) | 25 kV SNCF, 15 kV CFF | - | 750 V/25 kV/15 kV | Coppet-Cornavin-Airport (CFF)<br>Evian-Thonon-Annemasse-Eaux Vives (SNCF) | - | SNCF & CFF | TPG | - | 25 m | - | - |
| Kiel | 240000 | 1435 | 1000 - removed | 1435 | 15 kV & no electrified | - | 750 V/15 kV 50 Hz or 750 V/diesel | Kiel-Neumünster (NOB & DB)<br>Kiel-Plön (DB)<br>Kiel-Eckernförde (DB)<br>Kiel-Rendsburg (NOB) | Passengers & freight | NOB & DB | tram system removed in 1985 | - | 30 m | - | 380-760 |
| Bremen | 540000 | 1435 | 1435 | 1435 | 15 kV AC | - | 750 V/15 kV 50 Hz | Bremen-Delmenhorst-Nordenham<br>Bremen-Rottenburg/Wümme | - | DR-Regio | - | - | 30 m | 100 | 380-760 |
| Aarhus | 282137 | 1435 | no | - | not electrified | - | electric-diesel | Odder Line<br>Grenaa Line | - | HHJ in Odder y Danish National Railway Agency in Grenaa | - | - | 25 m | - | 280-500 Grenaa 500-800 Odder |
| Göteborg | 600000 | - | 1435 | - | 15 kV 16 2/3 Hz | 750 V DC | - | Alingsas-Göteborg<br>Floda-Göteborg | - | SJ | GS | - | 20 m | 170-250 | 580-730 |
| Tallinn | 411594 | 1520 | 1067 | 1520 | 3300 V DC | 600 V (750 V new line) | 750/3300 V | - | Passengers & freight | Elektriraudtee AS Edelaraudtee AS | TTA | - | 25 m | - | 1100 |
| Aachen | 500000 | 1435 | new planned 1435 mm | - | 3300 V DC | 750 or 1500 V DC | - | Aachen-Heerlen | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Brussels | 964000 | - | - | - | 3300 V DC | 700 V DC | 700/3300 V | - | - | SNCB/NMBS | STIB/MIVB | - | 20 m | 150 surface, 275 tunnel | 250-760 |
| Anvers | 590000 | 1435 | 1000 | 1435 (4) | 3300 V DC | 700 V DC | - | - | - | SNCB/NMBS | DE LIJN | - | 25 m | 300 | - |
| Katowice | 4000000 | 1435 & 1524 | - | - | 3000 V DC | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Patra | 200000 | 1000 | no | 1000 | not electrified | - | electric-diesel | Psathopyrghos-Patras-Achaia | - | OSE | - | - | - | - | - |
| Valenciennes | 332000 | - | no - study for building | - | - | 750 V DC | - | Mons-Quiévrain-Valenciennes<br>Mons-Borinage-St. Ghislain-Quiévrain-Valenciennes<br>Mons-Cuesmes-Quévy-Aulnoye-Maubeuge | Passengers & freight | SNCF & SNCB | - | - | 20 m | - | - |
| Nottingham | 300000 | - | 1435 | 1435 | not electrified | - | - | Line to Hucknall | Freight, now re-opened to passengers | British Rail | Greater Nottingham Rapid Transit | Greater Nottingham Rapid Transit | - | - | - |
| Liverpool | 450000 | 1435 | no - proposed | 1435 | 750 V DC & 25 kV AC | - | 750 V | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kalrsruhe | 550000 | 1435 | 1435 | 1435 | 15 kV 16 2/3 Hz | 750 V DC | 750 V/15 kV 16 2/3 Hz | Kalrsruhe-Bretten<br>Karlsruhe-Wörth<br>Karlsruhe-Pforzheim-Bietigheim-Bissingen<br>Karlsruhe-Rastatt-Baden-Baden<br>Bretten-Eppingen-Heilbronn<br>Bruchsal-Menzingen/Odenheim | Passengers & freight | DB-Regio | AVG, VBK | KVV | - | 0-200 | 380-550 |
| Saarbrücken | 500000 | 1435 | no | 1435 | 15 kV 16 2/3 Hz | 750 V DC | 750 V/15 kV 16 2/3 Hz | Brebach-Sarreguemines<br>Old Köllertalbahn | - | DB-Regio, SNCF | - | Stadtbahn Saar GmbH | 30 m | 200-350 | 380 |
| Kassel | 200000 | 1435 | 1435 | 1435 | - | 600 V DC | - | Kassel-Baunatal<br>Kassel-Helsa | Freight | RBK | KVG | - | 30 m | 200 | 200 |
| Sunderland | 200000 | 1432 | 1435 | 1432 & 1435 | not electrified | 1500 V DC | 1500 V | Pelaw-Sunderland | Passengers & freight | Northern Spirit | Nexus | Nexus | - | - | - |

(1) Must be electrified
(2) Must have three voltages if it is extended to Kehl
(3) Third rail in urban area or changing gauge device
(4) Three or four rails in urban area
- Unavailable data

**TABLE 2 Technical, operational, and system characteristics of rail transit modes**
Source: [16].

| | **Tram = Streetcar** | **Light rail** | **Regional rail** |
|---|---|---|---|
| Vehicle/train characteristics: | | | |
| Minimum operational | 1 | 1 (4 axle) | 1-3 |
| Maximum train composition | 3 | 2-4 (6-8 axle) | 4-10 |
| Vehicle length (m) | 14-23 | 14-30 | 20-26 |
| Vehicle capacity (seats/vehicle) | 22-40 | 25-80 | 80-125 |
| Vehicle capacity (total spaces/vehicle) | 100-180 | 110-250 | 140-210 |
| Fixed facilities: | | | |
| Exclusive right of way (% of length) | 0-40 | 40-90 | 90-100 |
| Vehicle control | manual/visual | manual/signal | signal |
| Fare collection | on vehicle | on vehicle/at station | at station/on vehicle |
| Power supply | overhead | overhead | overhead/third rail |
| Stations: | | | |
| Platform height | low | low or high | low or high |
| Access control | none | none or full | none or full |
| Operational characteristics: | | | |
| Maximum speed (km/h) | 60-70 | 60-120 | 80-130 |
| Operating speed (km/h) | 12-20 | 18-40 | 40-70 |
| Maximum frequency: | | | |
| Peak hour, joint section (TU/h) | 60-120 | 40-90 | 10-30 |
| Off peak, single line (TU/h) | 5-12 | 5-12 | 1-6 |
| Capacity (prs/h) | 4,000-15,000 | 6,000-20,000 | 8,000-35,000 |
| Reliability | low-medium | high | very high |




**FIGURE 1 Karlsruhe Network.**
Modified from [5]




1 Power switch
2 Converter for pantograph
3 Selector/Pick up
4 Transformer
5 Rectifier
6 Voltage regulator

**FIGURE 2 Power units on a Karlsruhe vehicle.**




**FIGURE 3 Karlsruhe tyre profile.**




**FIGURE 4 Use of raised check rails and special wheel profiles.**
Modified from [4]




**FIGURE 5 Saarbrücken Network.**
Modified from [10]




**FIGURE 6 Four rail station.**
Source: [14].






**FIGURE 7 Tram and Regiotram Network in Kassel.**
Modified from [C]




**FIGURE 8 Sunderland Metro Network.**
Modified from [5]