Mitochondrial DNA haplogroups Associated with MRI-Detected Structural Damage in Early Knee Osteoarthritis

Ignacio Rego-Pérez¹, Francisco J. Blanco¹, Frank W. Roemer^{2,3}, Ali Guermazi², Di Ran^{4,5}, Erin L. Ashbeck⁴, Mercedes Fernández-Moreno^{1,6}, Natividad Oreiro¹, Michael J. Hannon⁷, David J Hunter⁸ and C. Kent Kwoh^{4,9}

¹Servicio de Reumatología. Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de A Coruña (INIBIC), Complexo Hospitalario Universitario de A Coruña (CHUAC), Sergas. Universidade da Coruña (UDC). As Xubias, 15006. A Coruña. España, ²Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA,

³Department of Radiology, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany,

⁴The University of Arizona Arthritis Center, Tucson, AZ,

⁵Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Arizona,

⁶Centro de investigación biomédica en Red, Bioingenieria, Biomatereial y Nanomedicina (CIBER-BBN),

⁷Univ. of Pittsburgh Sch. of Med., Pittsburgh, PA,

⁸Department of Rheumatology, Royal North Shore Hospital and Institute of Bone and Joint Research, Kolling

Institute, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

⁹Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ.

Correspondence and reprint requests:

C. Kent Kwoh, M.D. Professor of Medicine and Medical Imaging The Charles A.L. and Suzanne M. Stephens Chair of Rheumatology Chief, Division of Rheumatology Director, University of Arizona Arthritis Center Tucson, Arizona, USA 1501 N. Campbell Avenue, Room 8303 Tucson, AZ 85724-5093 Tele: (520)626-3618 Fax: (520)626-5018 Kwoh@arthritis.arizona.edu

RUNNING HEADLINE

mtDNA and Structural Features in Knee OA

ABSTRACT

Objective: MRI-detected structural features are associated with increased risk of radiographic osteoarthritis (ROA). Specific mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) haplogroups have been associated with incident ROA. Our objective was to compare the presence of MRI-detected structural features across mtDNA haplogroups among knees that developed incident ROA.

Design: Knees from the Osteoarthritis Initiative that developed incident ROA during 48 months follow-up were identified from Caucasian participants. mtDNA haplogroups were assigned based on a single base extension assay. MRIs were obtained annually between baseline and 4-year follow-up and scored using the MRI Osteoarthritis Knee Score (MOAKS). The association between mtDNA haplogroups and MRI-detected structural features was estimated using log-binomial regression. Participants who carried haplogroup H served as the reference group.

Results: The sample included 255 participants contributing 277 knees that developed ROA. Haplogroups included H (116, 45%), J (17, 7%), T (26, 10%), Uk (61, 24%), and the remaining less common haplogroups ("others") (35, 14%). Knees of participants with haplogroup J had significantly lower risk of medium/large BMLs in the medial compartment [3.2%, RR=0.17; 95%CI: 0.05, 0.64;p=0.009] compared to knees of participants who carried haplogroup H [16.3%], as did knees from participants within the "others" group [2.8%, RR=0.20; 95%CI: 0.08, 0.55;p=0.002], over the four year follow-up period.

Conclusions: mtDNA haplogroup J was associated with lower risk of BMLs in the medial compartment among knees that developed ROA. Our results offer a potential hypothesis to explain the mechanism underlying the previously reported protective association between haplogroup J and ROA.

Keywords

Mitochondrial DNA, haplogroups, knee osteoarthritis, MRI, bone marrow lesions, meniscus

INTRODUCTION

Knee Osteoarthritis (OA) is a chronic condition that affects an estimated 250 million adults worldwide¹. The initial steps of disease manifestation involve molecular derangements followed by structural tissue damage that involves cartilage degradation, as well as synovial inflammation, subchondral sclerosis and damage to other joint structures such as menisci and ligaments, eventually resulting in loss of articular function and pain². Despite high prevalence, available therapies to treat OA are limited in terms of efficacy and safety, and existing treatments are only palliative. Prevention efforts and development of disease-modifying treatments require identification of modifiable targets, ideally with insights underlying the mechanisms involved.

Multi-feature assessment of joint structure in knee OA has been accomplished through the use of semiquantitative scoring of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)³. Articular tissues commonly assessed include cartilage damage, subchondral bone marrow lesions (BMLs) and cysts, osteophytes, bone attrition, synovitis/effusion, periarticular cysts/bursitis, anterior and posterior cruciate ligaments, the collateral ligaments, the menisci, and intra-articular loose bodies.

Mitochondria contain their own genetic material, mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), which codes for 13 essential peptides of the mitochondrial respiratory chain, as well as for 22 transferent RNAs (tRNAs) and 2 ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs). As a consequence of the high mutation rate of mtDNA, there are distinct haplogroups, defined by the presence of a particular set of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the mtDNA sequence. These mtDNA haplogroups are the result of maternally inherited mutations in the mtDNA acquired throughout human history and shaped by climate selection when humans migrated into colder climates⁴. Specific polymorphisms that are characteristic of the different mitochondrial haplogroups influence the behavior of the mitochondria and interact with the nuclear genome modulating critical processes such as complement activation, inflammation or apoptosis⁵, and therefore they have been associated with degenerative disorders⁶, metabolic

alterations⁷ and longevity⁸. The role of mitochondria in the pathogenesis of OA has been previously described⁹, and mtDNA haplogroups have been associated with the prevalence¹⁰, incidence, and progression of radiographic knee OA (ROA) in different cohorts worldwide¹¹⁻¹⁴.

Knee structural pathologies have been associated with the development of ROA¹⁵, radiographic progression¹⁶ and incident knee pain¹⁷. Whether genetic variability influences the underlying pathology of OA is largely unknown, however. Notably, mtDNA haplogroup J has been associated with a lower rate of incident ROA¹⁴. Our objective was to compare the presence of MRI-detected structural features across mtDNA haplogroups among knees that developed incident ROA over 48 months.

METHODS

Study Design/Setting/Participants

The Osteoarthritis Initiative (OAI) is a multi-center, longitudinal, prospective observational study of knee osteoarthritis. Study overview, objectives, protocol, and data are available online (<u>https://oai.epi-</u> <u>ucsf.org/datarelease/About.asp</u>). Briefly, 4,796 men and women with or at risk for knee osteoarthritis aged 45-79 enrolled between February 2004 and May 2006 from the following sites: Ohio State University (Columbus, OH), University of Maryland School of Medicine and Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine (Baltimore, MD), University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine (Pittsburgh, PA), Brown University School of Medicine and Memorial Hospital of Rhode Island (Pawtucket, RI). The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the OAI Coordinating Center at the University of California, San Francisco and the IRBs of each site.

Knees that developed incident ROA within four years of OAI baseline were selected for annual MRI readings in a substudy, Pivotal Osteoarthritis Initiative MRI Analyses (POMA), including 355 knees from 323 participants¹⁵. Briefly, annual bilateral posteroanterior fixed-flexion weight-bearing radiographic views were obtained using a

SynaFlexerTM frame (Synarc, San Francisco, CA, USA) according to standardized procedures (<u>https://oai.epi-ucsf.org/datarelease/operationsManuals/RadiographicManual.pdf</u>). Radiographs were centrally read and scored with Kellgren-Lawrence (KL) grades to ascertain the development of incident ROA (i.e., KL grade ≥ 2)¹⁸.

Because our study aims to compare the presence of MRI-detected structural features across European mtDNA haplogroups, we restricted the POMA sample to self-identified Caucasian participants, to avoid confounding by population stratification. The available sample for analysis included 255 Caucasian participants contributing 277 knees, with an average of 3.9 annual MRIs (4% with 2 MRIs; 35% with 3 MRIs; 27% with 4; 34% with 5 MRIs).

Mitochondrial DNA haplogroup assignment

The most common Caucasian mtDNA haplogroups were assigned to participants using a previously described assay¹⁰. Briefly, a multiplex polymerase chain reaction was performed to amplify the six mtDNA fragments that contain the diagnostic SNPs that enable the identification of the most common Caucasian mtDNA haplogroups. Resulting PCR fragments were then purified with ExoSap-IT (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) and analyzed by the Single Base Extension (SBE) assay using the SNaPshot multiplex Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States), resulting products were loaded into an ABI 3130XL genetic analyzer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) and informative SNPs were visualized with GeneMapper software v5.0. Haplogroups with a frequency below 5% were pooled into the "Others" group.

Knee MRI Acquisition and Assessment

Annual MRIs were obtained on identical 3T systems (Siemens Trio, Erlangen, Germany) and acquired with a dedicated quadrature transmit/receive knee coil using a coronal intermediate-weighted 2-dimensional turbo spin-echo sequence, a sagittal 3-dimensional dual-echo steady-state sequence and coronal and axial

reformations, and a sagittal intermediate-weighted fat-suppressed turbo spin-echo sequence. The complete pulse sequence protocol and sequence parameters have been described previously¹⁹.

Outcomes

MRI-detected structural features of knee OA were assessed by two expert readers with extensive experience in MRI assessment of OA (FWR, AG) using the MRI Osteoarthritis Knee Score (MOAKS), a semi-quantitative scoring instrument²⁰. Outcomes of interest included damage thought to be of greatest clinical significance, including medium/large effusion-synovitis, moderate/severe Hoffa-synovitis, BMLs scored as \geq 33% of the subregional volume (grade 2 and 3, i.e. moderate and large BMLs), meniscal tear/maceration, and meniscal extrusions \geq 3mm. Cartilage damage was defined as loss \geq 10% of the surface area and/or \geq 10% full-thickness loss.

Statistical Methods

Baseline characteristics of the sample were summarized, including participant age, sex, body mass index (BMI), and self-reported history of knee injury or knee surgery. The proportion of each mtDNA haplogroup with the outcome of interest (MRI-detected structural damage) was estimated and compared using log-binomial regression to estimate relative risks (RR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI), and Poisson regression with robust variance as a planned alternative when the log-binomial model failed to converge²¹. Participants who carried haplogroup H served as the reference group for comparisons since haplogroup H is the most common in the Caucasian population. Generalized estimating equations were used to account for repeated annual MRI observations of knees between baseline and four-year follow-up, and potentially two knees contributed per participant. All estimates were reported unadjusted, as well as adjusted for age, sex, and BMI. BMLs, cartilage damage, meniscal damage, and extrusions were examined at the compartment level, as well as at the knee level.

RESULTS

The sample of 255 Caucasian participants contributing knees that developed incident ROA within four years of baseline had a mean age of 61 years (sd 8.7), was predominately female (64%) and overweight (43%) or obese (36%). Haplogroups included H (116, 45%), J (17, 7%), T (26, 10%), Uk (61, 24%), and less common (<5%) haplogroups ("others") (35, 14%).

Modest imbalances in baseline characteristics existed between haplogroups; participants carrying haplogroup T were older, while participants carrying haplogroup J were more frequently male than other groups, and less often obese (Table 1). Since these characteristics have the potential to confound associations between mtDNA haplogroup and MRI-detected structural features in our sample, we interpreted results from the adjusted analyses.

Knees of participants with haplogroup J had significantly lower risk of large BMLs in the medial compartment [3.2%, RR=0.17; 95%CI: 0.05, 0.64; p=0.009] compared to knees of participants who carried haplogroup H [16.3%], as did knees from participants within the "others" group [2.8%, RR=0.20; 95%CI: 0.08, 0.55; p=0.002]. While 4.7% of haplogroup H carriers had large BMLs detected in the lateral compartment, haplogroup J carriers had none, potentially suggesting a protective effect of haplogroup J for tibiofemoral BMLs overall [3.2% in J vs 18.8% in H; RR=0.15; 95%CI: 0.04, 0.57; p=0.005]. No evidence of an association between haplogroups and BMLs in the patello-femoral joint (PFJ) was observed (Table 2).

Hoffa's synovitis (moderate/severe) and effusion-synovitis (medium/large) were less common among participants carrying haplogroup J compared to H, though the differences were not statistically significant [1.7% vs 10.1%, RR=0.16; 95%CI: 0.02, 1.16; p=0.070 and 9.5% vs 17.3%, RR=0.55; 95%CI: 0.20, 1.52; p=0.248, respectively] (Table 2).

Higher risk of meniscal tear/maceration in the lateral compartment was seen among participants carrying haplogroups J [31.8%, RR=3.35; 95%CI: 1.30, 8.61; p=0.012], T [28.3%, RR=3.06; 95%CI: 1.47, 6.39; p=0.003], and Uk [25.0%, RR=2.73; 95%CI: 1.45, 5.13; p=0.002], compared to haplogroup H [9.1%]. Similarly, carriers of haplogroup T experienced a higher risk of meniscal extrusion ≥3mm in the lateral compartment [11.5%, RR=11.7; 95%CI: 2.90, 47.22; p=0.001], compared to haplogroup H [0.8%]. No remarkable effect sizes or significant differences in cartilage damage were observed between haplogroups (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

In our longitudinal observational study of participants who developed radiographic incident knee OA, those who carried mtDNA haplogroup J were significantly less likely to have large tibiofemoral BMLs compared to participants who carried the more common haplogroup H.

Severe early changes in different articular features, especially BMLs and meniscal pathology, have been associated with symptomatic progression and radiographic worsening over a 24-month period²², including increased risk of joint replacement and increased cartilage loss²³. Specifically, BMLs have emerged as a central component of a wide variety of inflammatory and non-inflammatory rheumatologic conditions, and therefore they are not only potential sources of pain, but may also reflect disease activity²⁴. BMLs have been consistently identified by MRI in patients with knee OA²⁵⁻²⁷ related to mechanical loading, as well as increased subchondral stress. BMLs are characterized by high bone turnover as well as an increased vascularization induced by angiogenic factors and pro-inflammatory cytokines, which could explain the elevated bone marker levels in patients with severe, progressive OA as a consequence of the severe disruption of subchondral bone architecture^{24, 28}.

Participants harboring the haplogroup J had a lower rate of large BMLs when compared with participants with haplogroup H. We hypothesize that both metabolic activity and inflammation that takes place in BMLs²⁹ could be behind this association.

Haplogroups J and H are substantially different with respect to nucleotide composition: haplogroup J comprises a set of uncoupling mitochondrial polymorphisms, preferentially non-synonymous, acquired during evolutionary history^{4, 30}, while haplogroup H has higher levels of conserved amino acids⁴. Thus, these haplogroups are biochemically different in terms of oxidative phosphorylation system (OXPHOS) coupling efficiency, adenosine triphosphate (ATP) levels and reactive oxygen species (ROS) production^{31, 32}, leading to different expression patterns of genes affecting several signalling pathways such as complement, inflammation or apoptosis^{5, 33}. Further, cells harboring haplogroup J show lower ATP levels accompanied by decreased ROS production, while haplogroup H associates with higher ATP levels, increased ROS production and lower ability to cope with oxidative stress^{14, 34, 35}. These characteristics make haplogroup J less efficient and metabolically active compared to H^{31, 35}, however, haplogroup J also shows a decreased expression of genes affecting inflammation and apoptosis⁵.

Metabolic differences between haplogroups H and J have additional consequences. Mitochondria-derived ROS also leads to an upregulation of metalloproteinases (MMPs)^{36, 37}, as well as an overproduction of proinflammatory cytokines³⁸. Thus, MMP-13 is highly expressed in subchondral bone affected by BMLs²⁹, and increased levels of IL-6 and C-reactive protein have been detected in the serum of patients with large-BMLs^{39, 40}. In addition, knee effusion-synovitis has been positively associated with BMLs⁴¹. We found that Hoffa's synovitis and effusion-synovitis were less common in participants with the haplogroup J, although not statistically significant. Interestingly, mtDNA haplogroups have been associated with serum levels of type-2 collagen

biomarkers and MMPs, where those participants with haplogroup J had lower serum levels of catabolic type-2 collagen biomarkers and MMP-13 than those harboring the haplogroup H^{42, 43}.

Fernández-Moreno et al, in a meta-analysis of mtDNA haplogroups in 3214 subjects from OAI and CHECK cohorts, concluded that participants who carried haplogroup J had a lower risk of developing incident ROA over 96 months of follow-up [ref 14]. Our study was restricted to OAI participants who developed incident ROA, and evaluated the association of mtDNA haplogroups with MRI-detected structural abnormalities not visible on radiographs, in the years leading up to ROA. We found a lower frequency of large BMLs, potentially as a consequence of differences in genetically-driven metabolic activity and inflammation at the cellular level. Soto-Hermida et al reported that among OAI participants with prevalent disease at baseline (i.e., the progression subcohort of the OAI, defined as having frequent knee symptoms and ROA at baseline) carriers of haplogroup T had a lower rate of cartilage deterioration [ref 44]. In our sample of early OA knees, there was no evidence of an association between mtDNA haplogroups and cartilage damage. This is not entirely surprising given that incident ROA is defined by radiographic detection of an osteophyte, often prior to evidence of joint space narrowing, while disease progression following ROA is characterized by more profound cartilage loss. Our findings, together with the earlier studies [references 14 and 44], highlight the relevance of the mitochondrial genome in the development and progression of knee OA, and offer a hypothesis for the potential mechanism underlying the protective effect of haplogroup J in the development of incident radiographic knee OA and haplogroup T in the progression of prevalent knee OA.

Significant associations between mtDNA haplogroups and meniscal damage and extrusions were also found. Meniscal tears are categorized as traumatic or non-traumatic (degenerative). Traumatic tears are usually caused by a serious injury, often during sports participation; degenerative tears are more common in the medial compartment and often accompanied by knee OA, with increased prevalence as joint space narrowing becomes

more severe⁴⁵. Participants with haplogroup H had a significantly lower prevalence of meniscal tears in the lateral compartment. We do not have a definitive explanation for this finding, though we speculate that since these differences were detected in the lateral compartment, which is less commonly associated with degenerative tears, the association could be related to traumatic episodes or even to knee alignment.

Our findings should be interpreted in context of the limitations. Our study had a limited sample of 255 Caucasian participants contributing 277 knees, and we examined multiple outcomes, yet this is a well-characterized cohort of participants, evaluated by objective methods at annual visits with detailed imaging assessments of OA pathology. In addition, this work examined the forms of joint damage with the greatest potential clinical relevance. Due to the multiple comparisons, the study findings could be considered hypothesis-generating, and replication should be attempted in an independent sample.

In summary, participants carrying the mtDNA haplogroup J had a lower risk of large BMLs in the tibio-femoral medial compartment compared to participants carrying the common haplogroup H among knees from the OAI that developed ROA during 48 months follow-up. Our results offer a potential hypothesis to explain the mechanism underlying the protective effect of haplogroup J for ROA that has been previously reported¹⁴.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The OAI is a public-private partnership comprised of five contracts (N01-AR-2-2258; N01-AR-2-2259; N01-AR-2-2260; N01-AR-2-2261; N01-AR-2-2262) funded by the National Institutes of Health, a branch of the Department of Health and Human Services, and conducted by the OAI Study Investigators. Private funding partners include Merck Research Laboratories; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, GlaxoSmithKline; and Pfizer, Inc. Private sector funding for the OAI is managed by the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health. This manuscript

was prepared using an OAI public use data set and does not necessarily reflect the opinions or views of the OAI investigators, the NIH, or the private funding partners.

CONTRIBUTIONS

All persons designated as authors have made substantial contributions to all three of sections 1, 2, and 3 below:

1) Conception and design of the study (CKK, IRP, FJB, FWR), or acquisition of data (CKK, DJH, AG, FWR, FJB, IRP,

MJH), or analysis (DR, ELA) and interpretation of data (all authors)

2) Drafting of the article (IRP, ELA, FJB, CKK) or revising it critically for important intellectual content (all authors)

3) Final approval of the version to be submitted (all authors).

FUNDING

NIH HHSN2682010000 21C Pivotal OAI MRI Analyses (POMA)

NIH AR066601 Biomarkers of Early Arthritis of the Knee (BEAK)

The study sponsors had no role in the study design, collection, analysis, or interpretation of the data, the writing of the manuscript, or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

DISCLOSURES

- I. Rego-Pérez: None declared
- D. Ran: None declared.
- E.L. Ashbeck: Consultant for EMD Serono
- M. Fernández-Moreno: None declared
- N. Oreiro: None declared

A. Guermazi shareholder Boston Imaging Core Laboratory, Consultant for: MerckSerono, TissueGene,

OrthoTrophix, AstraZeneca, Sanofi, GE Healthcare and Pfizer

- F.W. Roemer shareholder Boston Imaging Core Lab. (BICL), LLC.
- M.J. Hannon: Consultant for EMD Serono
- F.J. Blanco: None declared
- D.J.Hunter: Consultant for: MerckSerono, TissueGene
- C.K. Kwoh Grant/research support from: AbbVie, EMD Serono

Table 1. Baseline characteristics by mtDNA haplogroup

	Наріотуре								
	Н	J	т	Uk	Others*	All			
	Participant-level								
	n=116	n=17	n=26	n=61	n=35	n=255			
Age, years, mean(sd)	60.7 (9.0)	60.4 (7.6)	63.6 (8.4)	62.2 (8.3)	59.9 (9.1)	61.3 (8.7)			
Sex, Male (%)	45 (38.8)	8 (47.1)	8 (30.8)	24 (39.3)	7 (20.0)	92 (36.1)			
BMI (Kg/m ²), mean(sd)	28.7 (4.6)	27.1 (3.8)	28.3 (4.8)	28.5 (4.3)	29.2 (3.9)	28.6 (4.4)			
Normal (18.5-24.9 kg) (%)	23 (19.8)	7 (41.2)	6 (23.1)	13 (21.3)	6 (17.1)	55 (21.6)			
Overweight (25-29.9 kg) (%)	54 (46.6)	6 (35.3)	11 (42.3)	25 (41.0)	13 (37.1)	109 (42.7)			
Obese (≥30 kg) (%)	39 (33.6)	4 (23.5)	9 (34.6)	23 (37.7)	16 (45.7)	91 (35.7)			
	Knee-level								
	n=126	n=17	n=29	n=67	n=38	n=277			
History of knee injury (%)	44 (35.2)	6 (35.3)	3 (10.3)	14 (21.5)	5 (13.2)	72 (26.3)			
History of knee surgery or arthroscopy (%)	10 (8.0)	2 (11.8)	0 (0.0)	4 (6.0)	0 (0.0)	16 (5.8)			

Abbreviations: BMI, Body Mass Index; sd, standard deviation

*Others includes mtDNA haplogroups with a frequency < 5%.

aplogroup	MRI-detected Structural Features									
	Feature Present ^a (%)	RF	R (95%CI)	P-value	Adjust	ed ^b RR (95%Cl)	P-value			
	Eff	usion-	Synovitis and	Hoffa-syno ^v	vitis					
Hoffa's synovitis, Moderate/Severe										
н	49 (10.1)	1.00	(reference)		1.00	(reference)				
J	1 (1.7)	0.16	(0.02, 1.19)	0.074	0.16	(0.02, 1.16)	0.070			
Т	11 (9.7)	0.96	(0.37, 2.51)	0.939	0.96	(0.37, 2.52)	0.938			
Uk	26 (9.4)	0.93	(0.46, 1.90)	0.847	0.91	(0.45, 1.85)	0.800			
Others	5 (3.5)	0.34	(0.10, 1.15)	0.083	0.40	(0.12, 1.36)	0.142			
	Effusion synovitis, Medium/Large									
Н	84 (17.3)	1.00	(reference)		1.00	(reference)				
J	6 (9.5)	0.55	(0.20, 1.51)	0.246	0.55	(0.20, 1.52)	0.248			
Т	24 (21.2)	1.23	(0.69, 2.17)	0.485	1.25	(0.70, 2.23)	0.443			
Uk	36 (13.0)	0.75	(0.47, 1.22)	0.246	0.75	(0.46, 1.22)	0.248			
Others	15 (10.3)	0.60	(0.29, 1.22)	0.156	0.61	(0.30, 1.24)	0.168			
Hoffa's synovitis (Moderate/Severe) or Effusion-synovitis (Medium/Large)										
Н	113 (23.3)	1.00	(reference)		1.00	(reference)				
J	7 (11.1)	0.48	(0.20, 1.15)	0.099	0.46	(0.19, 1.12)	0.086			
Т	32 (28.3)	1.22	(0.75 <i>,</i> 1.97)	0.428	1.25	(0.77, 2.02)	0.373			
Uk	53 (19.2)	0.82	(0.55, 1.25)	0.359	0.82	(0.54, 1.24)	0.349			
Others	20 (13.8)	0.59	(0.33, 1.06)	0.079	0.62	(0.35, 1.11)	0.111			
	Bone Ma	arrow L	.esions (≥33%	subregiona	l volume)					
	Whole knee									
Н	208 (42.9)	1.00	(reference)		1.00	(reference)				
J	23 (36.5)	0.85	(0.51, 1.43)	0.540	0.80	(0.46, 1.38)	0.424			
Т	49 (43.4)	1.01	(0.67, 1.53)	0.958	0.99	(0.66, 1.48)	0.946			
Uk	100 (36.2)	0.84	(0.62, 1.15)	0.282	0.83	(0.61, 1.12)	0.219			
Others	52 (35.9)	0.84	(0.57, 1.23)	0.359	0.87	(0.59, 1.28)	0.475			
	Whole knee (no PFJ)									
Н	91 (18.8)	1.00	(reference)		1.00	(reference)				
J	2 (3.2)	0.17	(0.05, 0.64)	0.009	0.15	(0.04, 0.57)	0.005			
Т	17 (15.0)	0.80	(0.35, 1.82)	0.597	0.80	(0.36, 1.74)	0.568			
Uk	45 (16.3)	0.87	(0.56, 1.36)	0.539	0.83	(0.54, 1.29)	0.417			
Others	8 (5.5)	0.29	(0.14, 0.63)	0.002	0.34	(0.16, 0.73)	0.006			
	Medial compartment									
Н	79 (16.3)	1.00	(reference)		1.00	(reference)				
J	2 (3.2)	0.19	(0.05, 0.74)	0.016	0.17	(0.05, 0.64)	0.009			
Т	10 (8.9)	0.54	(0.19, 1.59)	0.266	0.55	(0.19, 1.56)	0.260			
Uk	29 (10.5)	0.65	(0.37, 1.12)	0.118	0.62	(0.36, 1.07)	0.086			
Others	4 (2.8)	0.17	(0.06, 0.46)	0.001	0.20	(0.08, 0.55)	0.002			
Lateral compartment										

Table 2. Mitochondrial DNA haplogroups and association with synovitis and bone marrow lesions

н	23 (4.7)	1.00	(reference)		1.00	(reference)	
J	0 (0.0)						
Т	9 (8.0)	1.68	(0.53, 5.32)	0.378	1.64	(0.57 <i>,</i> 4.74)	0.358
Uk	18 (6.5)	1.38	(0.56, 3.37)	0.487	1.34	(0.56, 3.23)	0.516
Others	5 (3.5)	0.73	(0.23, 2.37)	0.603	0.80	(0.23, 2.80)	0.730
PFJ							
Н	140 (28.9)	1.00	(reference)		1.00	(reference)	
J	22 (34.9)	1.21	(0.67, 2.16)	0.527	1.21	(0.67, 2.19)	0.519
Т	44 (38.9)	1.35	(0.83, 2.19)	0.230	1.30	(0.80, 2.10)	0.284
Uk	76 (27.5)	0.95	(0.62, 1.45)	0.819	0.93	(0.61, 1.42)	0.733
Others	46 (31.7)	1.10	(0.68, 1.76)	0.703	1.13	(0.70, 1.80)	0.622

Abbreviations: PFJ, Patello-Femoral Joint; RR, Relative Risk; CI, Confidence Interval

^aNumber of MRIs with feature present with at least moderate/medium severity/size, with repeated annual MRIs for each knee.

^bAdjusted for sex, age, and BMI

Haplogroup	MRI-detected Structural Features									
	Feature Present ^a (%)	RR	(95%CI) P-value		Adjusted ^b RR	(95%CI)	P-value			
		C	Cartilage Damage							
v	/hole knee									
Н	446 (92.0)	1.00	(reference)		1.00	(reference)				
J	55 (87.3)	0.95	(0.80, 1.13)	0.551	0.97	(0.82, 1.13)	0.68			
Т	99 (87.6)	0.95	(0.83, 1.09)	0.489	0.93	(0.82, 1.06)	0.30			
Uk	233 (84.4)	0.92	(0.82, 1.02)	0.119	0.91	(0.82, 1.01)	0.0			
Others	128 (88.3)	0.96	(0.87, 1.06)	0.426	0.97	(0.88, 1.06)	0.4			
M	/hole knee (no PFJ)									
Н	305 (62.9)	1.00	(reference)		1.00	(reference)				
J	42 (66.7)	1.06	(0.77, 1.46)	0.719	1.05	(0.77, 1.42)	0.7			
т	72 (63.7)	1.01	(0.79, 1.30)	0.917	0.95	(0.73, 1.23)	0.6			
Uk	159 (57.6)	0.92	(0.74, 1.13)	0.421	0.90	(0.74, 1.09)	0.2			
Others	81 (55.9)	0.89	(0.68, 1.16)	0.380	0.92	(0.71, 1.20)	0.5			
N	ledial compartment									
н	229 (47.2)	1.00	(reference)		1.00	(reference)				
J	20 (31.8)	0.67	(0.36, 1.24)	0.206	0.72	(0.42, 1.25)	0.2			
т	44 (38.9)	0.82	(0.55, 1.24)	0.351	0.81	(0.55, 1.20)	0.2			
Uk	108 (39.1)	0.83	(0.61, 1.12)	0.221	0.83	(0.62, 1.10)	0.1			
Others	61 (42.1)	0.89	(0.62, 1.29)	0.542	0.95	(0.64, 1.41)	0.7			
La	ateral compartment									
Н	159 (32.8)	1.00	(reference)		1.00	(reference)				
J	28 (44.4)	1.36	(0.75 <i>,</i> 2.46)	0.318	1.35	(0.74, 2.47)	0.3			
т	38 (33.6)	1.03	(0.60, 1.75)	0.926	1.02	(0.61, 1.73)	0.9			
Uk	100 (36.2)	1.11	(0.76, 1.62)	0.606	1.10	(0.75, 1.61)	0.6			
Others	47 (32.4)	0.99	(0.61, 1.60)	0.963	1.03	(0.64, 1.67)	0.8			
Р	FJ									
Н	366 (75.5)	1.00	(reference)		1.00	(reference)				
J	49 (77.8)	1.03	(0.79, 1.34)	0.824	1.08	(0.85, 1.37)	0.5			
т	90 (79.7)	1.06	(0.86, 1.30)	0.611	1.02	(0.83, 1.25)	0.8			
Uk	206 (74.6)	0.99	(0.84, 1.17)	0.897	0.97	(0.82, 1.14)	0.7			
Others	108 (74.5)	0.99	(0.79, 1.23)	0.906	0.98	(0.80, 1.20)	0.8			
		Meni	scus Tear/Macera	tion						
v	/hole knee									
Н	263 (54.2)	1.00	(reference)		1.00	(reference)				
J	44 (69.8)	1.29	(0.94, 1.77)	0.116	1.11	(0.77, 1.61)	0.5			
т	61 (54.0)	1.00	(0.72, 1.38)	0.978		(0.82, 1.37)				
Uk	181 (65.6)	1.21	(0.98, 1.49)	0.071		(0.94, 1.37)				
Others	51 (35.2)	0.65	(0.43, 0.97)	0.034	0.70	(0.47, 1.03)	0.0			
Ν	ledial compartment									

Н	232 (47.8)	1.00	(reference)		1.00	(reference)	
J	29 (46.0)	0.96	(0.57 <i>,</i> 1.63)	0.886	0.85	(0.53, 1.37)	0.509
Т	40 (35.4)	0.74	(0.47, 1.16)	0.186	0.86	(0.61, 1.21)	0.374
Uk	136 (49.3)	1.03	(0.78, 1.35)	0.832	0.97	(0.77, 1.23)	0.799
Others	41 (28.3)	0.59	(0.36, 0.97)	0.037	0.63	(0.38, 1.05)	0.075
	Lateral compartment						
Н	44 (9.1)	1.00	(reference)		1.00	(reference)	
J	20 (31.8)	3.50	(1.43, 8.54)	0.006	3.35	(1.30, 8.61)	0.012
Т	32 (28.3)	3.12	(1.43, 6.82)	0.004	3.06	(1.47, 6.39)	0.003
Uk	69 (25.0)	2.76	(1.45, 5.24)	0.002	2.73	(1.45, 5.13)	0.002
Others	18 (12.4)	1.37	(0.53, 3.50)	0.513	1.51	(0.57, 3.96)	0.404
		Menisc	us Extrusion (≥	3mm)			
	Whole knee						
Н	95 (19.6)	1.00	(reference)		1.00	(reference)	
J	11 (17.5)	0.89	(0.32, 2.50)	0.827	0.91	(0.32, 2.59)	0.866
Т	34 (30.1)	1.54	(0.92 <i>,</i> 2.57)	0.101	1.40	(0.83, 2.35)	0.203
Uk	50 (18.1)	0.92	(0.59 <i>,</i> 1.46)	0.736	0.88	(0.57, 1.37)	0.569
Others	19 (13.1)	0.67	(0.34, 1.30)	0.234	0.69	(0.36, 1.32)	0.263
	Medial compartment						
Н	91 (18.8)	1.00	(reference)		1.00	(reference)	
J	8 (12.7)	0.68	(0.19, 2.38)	0.543	0.70	(0.20, 2.48)	0.583
Т	21 (19.6)	0.99	(0.54, 1.81)	0.975	0.93	(0.51, 1.70)	0.824
Uk	42 (15.2)	0.81	(0.49, 1.35)	0.420	0.77	(0.47, 1.28)	0.315
Others	19 (13.1)	0.70	(0.36, 1.36)	0.292	0.73	(0.38, 1.43)	0.363
	Lateral compartment						
Н	4 (0.8)	1.00	(reference)		1.00	(reference)	
J	3 (4.8)	5.77	(0.60, 55.70)	0.130	6.22	(0.66 <i>,</i> 58.83)	0.111
Т	13 (11.5)	13.95	(3.04, 64.09)	0.001	11.70	(2.90, 47.22)	0.001
Uk	8 (2.9)	3.51	(0.77, 16.07)	0.105	3.34	(0.72 <i>,</i> 15.39)	0.123
Others	0 (0.0)						

Abbreviations: PFJ, Patello-Femoral Joint; RR, Relative Risk; CI, Confidence Interval

^aNumber of MRIs with feature present with at least moderate/medium severity/size, with repeated annual MRIs for each knee.

^bAdjusted for sex, age, and BMI

REFERENCES

- 1. Kotti M, Duffell LD, Faisal AA, McGregor AH. The complexity of human walking: a knee osteoarthritis study. PLoS One 2014; 9: e107325.
- 2. Kraus VB, Blanco FJ, Englund M, Karsdal MA, Lohmander LS. Call for standardized definitions of osteoarthritis and risk stratification for clinical trials and clinical use. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2015; 23: 1233-1241.
- 3. Hunter DJ, Zhang W, Conaghan PG, Hirko K, Menashe L, Li L, et al. Systematic review of the concurrent and predictive validity of MRI biomarkers in OA. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2011; 19: 557-588.
- 4. Mishmar D, Ruiz-Pesini E, Golik P, Macaulay V, Clark AG, Hosseini S, et al. Natural selection shaped regional mtDNA variation in humans. In: Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A United States2003:171-176.
- 5. Kenney MC, Chwa M, Atilano SR, Falatoonzadeh P, Ramirez C, Malik D, et al. Inherited mitochondrial DNA variants can affect complement, inflammation and apoptosis pathways: insights into mitochondrial-nuclear interactions. Hum Mol Genet 2014; 23: 3537-3551.
- 6. Hudson G, Nalls M, Evans JR, Breen DP, Winder-Rhodes S, Morrison KE, et al. Two-stage association study and metaanalysis of mitochondrial DNA variants in Parkinson disease. Neurology 2013; 80: 2042-2048.
- 7. Achilli A, Olivieri A, Pala M, Hooshiar Kashani B, Carossa V, Perego UA, et al. Mitochondrial DNA backgrounds might modulate diabetes complications rather than T2DM as a whole. PLoS One 2011; 6: e21029.
- 8. Niemi AK, Hervonen A, Hurme M, Karhunen PJ, Jylha M, Majamaa K. Mitochondrial DNA polymorphisms associated with longevity in a Finnish population. Hum Genet 2003; 112: 29-33.
- 9. Blanco FJ, Rego I, Ruiz-Romero C. The role of mitochondria in osteoarthritis. Nature Reviews Rheumatology 2011; 7: 161-169.
- 10. Rego-Perez I, Fernandez-Moreno M, Fernandez-Lopez C, Arenas J, Blanco FJ. Mitochondrial DNA haplogroups: role in the prevalence and severity of knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2008; 58: 2387-2396.
- 11. Shen JM, Feng L, Feng C. Role of mtDNA haplogroups in the prevalence of osteoarthritis in different geographic populations: a meta-analysis. PLoS One 2014; 9: e108896.
- 12. Fang H, Liu X, Shen L, Li F, Liu Y, Chi H, et al. Role of mtDNA haplogroups in the prevalence of knee osteoarthritis in a southern Chinese population. Int J Mol Sci 2014; 15: 2646-2659.
- 13. Fernández-Moreno M, Soto-Hermida A, Vázquez-Mosquera ME, Cortés-Pereira E, Pértega S, Relaño S, et al. A replication study and meta-analysis of mitochondrial DNA variants in the radiographic progression of knee osteoarthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2017; 56: 263-270.
- 14. Fernández-Moreno M, Soto-Hermida A, Vázquez-Mosquera ME, Cortés-Pereira E, Relaño S, Hermida-Gómez T, et al. Mitochondrial DNA haplogroups influence the risk of incident knee osteoarthritis in OAI and CHECK cohorts. A metaanalysis and functional study. Ann Rheum Dis 2017; 76: 1114-1122.
- 15. Roemer FW, Kwoh CK, Hannon MJ, Hunter DJ, Eckstein F, Fujii T, et al. What comes first? Multitissue involvement leading to radiographic osteoarthritis: magnetic resonance imaging-based trajectory analysis over four years in the osteoarthritis initiative. Arthritis Rheumatol 2015; 67: 2085-2096.
- 16. Roemer FW, Kwoh CK, Hannon MJ, Hunter DJ, Eckstein F, Wang Z, et al. Can structural joint damage measured with MR imaging be used to predict knee replacement in the following year? Radiology 2015; 274: 810-820.
- 17. Felson DT, Niu J, Guermazi A, Roemer F, Aliabadi P, Clancy M, et al. Correlation of the development of knee pain with enlarging bone marrow lesions on magnetic resonance imaging. Arthritis Rheum 2007; 56: 2986-2992.
- 18. Kellgren JH, Lawrence JS. Radiological assessment of osteo-arthrosis. Ann Rheum Dis 1957; 16: 494-502.
- 19. Peterfy CG, Schneider E, Nevitt M. The osteoarthritis initiative: report on the design rationale for the magnetic resonance imaging protocol for the knee. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2008; 16: 1433-1441.

- 20. Hunter DJ, Guermazi A, Lo GH, Grainger AJ, Conaghan PG, Boudreau RM, et al. Evolution of semi-quantitative whole joint assessment of knee OA: MOAKS (MRI Osteoarthritis Knee Score). Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2011; 19: 990-1002.
- 21. Spiegelman D, Hertzmark E. Easy SAS calculations for risk or prevalence ratios and differences. Am J Epidemiol 2005; 162: 199-200.
- 22. Roemer FW, Guermazi A, Collins JE, Losina E, Nevitt MC, Lynch JA, et al. Semi-quantitative MRI biomarkers of knee osteoarthritis progression in the FNIH biomarkers consortium cohort Methodologic aspects and definition of change. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2016; 17: 466.
- 23. Tanamas SK, Wluka AE, Pelletier JP, Pelletier JM, Abram F, Berry PA, et al. Bone marrow lesions in people with knee osteoarthritis predict progression of disease and joint replacement: a longitudinal study. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2010; 49: 2413-2419.
- 24. Eriksen EF. Treatment of bone marrow lesions (bone marrow edema). Bonekey Rep 2015; 4: 755.
- 25. Felson DT, Chaisson CE, Hill CL, Totterman SM, Gale ME, Skinner KM, et al. The association of bone marrow lesions with pain in knee osteoarthritis. Ann Intern Med 2001; 134: 541-549.
- 26. Felson DT, McLaughlin S, Goggins J, LaValley MP, Gale ME, Totterman S, et al. Bone marrow edema and its relation to progression of knee osteoarthritis. Ann Intern Med 2003; 139: 330-336.
- 27. Hunter DJ, Zhang W, Conaghan PG, Hirko K, Menashe L, Reichmann WM, et al. Responsiveness and reliability of MRI in knee osteoarthritis: a meta-analysis of published evidence. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2011; 19: 589-605.
- 28. Dore D, Quinn S, Ding C, Winzenberg T, Jones G. Correlates of subchondral BMD: a cross-sectional study. J Bone Miner Res 2009; 24: 2007-2015.
- 29. Kuttapitiya A, Assi L, Laing K, Hing C, Mitchell P, Whitley G, et al. Microarray analysis of bone marrow lesions in osteoarthritis demonstrates upregulation of genes implicated in osteochondral turnover, neurogenesis and inflammation. Ann Rheum Dis 2017; 76: 1764-1773.
- 30. Pierron D, Chang I, Arachiche A, Heiske M, Thomas O, Borlin M, et al. Mutation rate switch inside Eurasian mitochondrial haplogroups: impact of selection and consequences for dating settlement in Europe. PLoS One 2011; 6: e21543.
- 31. Wallace DC, Brown MD, Lott MT. Mitochondrial DNA variation in human evolution and disease. Gene 1999; 238: 211-230.
- 32. Wallace DC. Genetics: Mitochondrial DNA in evolution and disease. Nature 2016; 535: 498-500.
- 33. Atilano SR, Malik D, Chwa M, Cáceres-Del-Carpio J, Nesburn AB, Boyer DS, et al. Mitochondrial DNA variants can mediate methylation status of inflammation, angiogenesis and signaling genes. Hum Mol Genet 2015; 24: 4491-4503.
- 34. Ruiz-Pesini E, Mishmar D, Brandon M, Procaccio V, Wallace DC. Effects of purifying and adaptive selection on regional variation in human mtDNA. Science 2004; 303: 223-226.
- 35. Martínez-Redondo D, Marcuello A, Casajús JA, Ara I, Dahmani Y, Montoya J, et al. Human mitochondrial haplogroup H: the highest VO2max consumer--is it a paradox? Mitochondrion 2010; 10: 102-107.
- 36. Reed KN, Wilson G, Pearsall A, Grishko VI. The role of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species in cartilage matrix destruction. Mol Cell Biochem 2014.
- Cillero-Pastor B, Rego-Perez I, Oreiro N, Fernandez-Lopez C, Blanco FJ. Mitochondrial respiratory chain dysfunction modulates metalloproteases -1,-3 and -13 in human normal chondrocytes in culture. Bmc Musculoskeletal Disorders 2013; 14.
- 38. Henrotin YE, Bruckner P, Pujol JP. The role of reactive oxygen species in homeostasis and degradation of cartilage. In: Osteoarthritis Cartilage England2003:747-755.

- 39. Zhu Z, Jin X, Wang B, Wluka A, Antony B, Laslett LL, et al. Cross-Sectional and Longitudinal Associations Between Serum Levels of High-Sensitivity C-Reactive Protein, Knee Bone Marrow Lesions, and Knee Pain in Patients With Knee Osteoarthritis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2016; 68: 1471-1477.
- 40. Zhu Z, Otahal P, Wang B, Jin X, Laslett LL, Wluka AE, et al. Cross-sectional and longitudinal associations between serum inflammatory cytokines and knee bone marrow lesions in patients with knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2017; 25: 499-505.
- 41. Wang X, Jin X, Blizzard L, Antony B, Han W, Zhu Z, et al. Associations Between Knee Effusion-synovitis and Joint Structural Changes in Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis. J Rheumatol 2017; 44: 1644-1651.
- 42. Rego-Perez I, Fernandez-Moreno M, Deberg M, Pertega S, Fenandez-Lopez C, Oreiro N, et al. Mitochondrial DNA haplogroups modulate the serum levels of biomarkers in patients with osteoarthritis. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases 2010; 69: 910-917.
- 43. Rego-Perez I, Fernandez-Moreno M, Deberg M, Pertega S, Fernandez-Lopez C, Oreiro N, et al. Mitochondrial DNA haplogroups and serum levels of proteolytic enzymes in patients with osteoarthritis. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases 2011; 70: 646-652.
- 44. Soto-Hermida A, Fernandez-Moreno M, Oreiro N, Fernandez-Lopez C, Pertega S, Cortes-Pereira E, et al. Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) haplogroups influence the progression of knee osteoarthritis. Data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative (OAI). PloS one 2014; 9: e112735-e112735.
- 45. Buchbinder R, Harris IA, Sprowson A. Management of degenerative meniscal tears and the role of surgery. Br J Sports Med 2016; 50: 1413-1416.